Of

WITNESSES

(none)

INDEX

Of

EXHIBITS

Doc.	Pros. Def.	Description For Ident.	In Evidence
1855	1107	List of names of persons attending Imperial Conferences of 1941	10140
1632 <b>E</b> E	1108	Entry from Larquis KIDO's Diary dated 2 July 1941	10144
2731	1109	Certificate of Interpreter Corrander Maxon dated 25 October 1946 of interrogations of the Defendant TOJO, Hideki of Various dates during January, February and March of this year (not read)	10149
2502	1110	Parent document of Imperial Conference called by TOJO July 1941 10150	
2502B	1110-A	Interrogation of TOJO, Hideki dated 13 February 1946 - an extract	10151
4062 <b>J</b>	1111	Report dated 3 July 1941 from Weizsaecker to German Foreign Minister Rib ontrop	10153

Of

## EXHIBITS

Doc. No.		Def.	Description Ide	or In ent. Evidence
1632W(59)	1112		Entry from Marquis KIDO's Diary dated 5 July 1941	10155
4062K	1113		Telegram from Ott at Tokyo to Ribbentrop dated 7 July 1941	10157
4052C	1114		Telegran dated 15 July from Ott to Berlin	10158
1632W(60)	1115		Extract from Marquis KIDO's Diary dated 15 July 1941	10161
16320(61)	1116		ditto 16 July 1941	10165
1632W(62)	1117		ditto 17 July 1941	10166
4052F	11.18		Telegram from Ott to Berlin dated 20 July 1941	10169
2512	1119		Parent document of Interro- gation of TOJO, Hideki dated 15 March 1946 1017	73
2512B	1119-A		Extract therefrom	10173
1383E	1120		Telegram from NOMURA to TOYODA dated 23 July 1941	10175
4052G	1121		Telegram dated 24 July 1941 from Ott to Berlin	10178
847C	1122		Official Statement of the Japanese Bureau of In- formation dated 29 July 1941 announcing Japan's Occupation of French Indo-China	10179

Of

EXHIBITS

Doc.	Pros. Def. No. No.	Description	For Ident.	In Evidence
2502A	1123	Extracts from the TOJO's interrogation dated 13 February 1946		10181
4167	1124	Parent document of TOJO's interrogation dated 15 February 1946	10183	
4167B	1124-A	Extract from interrogation of TOJO dated 15 July 1946		10183
1632W(63)	1125	Certain views of the Defendants NAGANO and KIDO re war with the U. S.		10184
2495	1126	Perent document of inter- rogation of Osami NAGANO dated 21 March 1946	10187	
2495-A	1126 <b>-</b> A	Extracts therefrom		10187
2497	1127	Farent document of inter- rogation of Osami NAGANO dated 27 March 1946	10191	
2497A	1127-A	Extracts therefrom		10191
2498	1128	Perent document inter- rogation of Shigetaro SHIMADA on 23 January 1946	10193	
2498B	1128-A	Extract therefrom		10193

Of

EXHIBITS

	Doc.	Pros.	Def.	Description For Ident. E	In vidence
	1632W(64)	1129		Extract from Marquis KIDO's Diary dated 2 August 1941	10196
•	1632W(66)	1130		Entry from KIDO's Diary Coted 7 August 1941	10198
	1457	1131		Telegram from NONURA to Foreign Minister TOYODA dated 16 August 1941	10202
	2534	1132		Certified Fress item showing that the Cabinet on 22 August 1941 approved National Composity Mobilization Plan	10204
	2535A	1133		Excerpt from the "Osaka Mainichi and the Tokyo "Nichi-Nichi" of 8 September 1941	10213
•	1632W(67)	1134		Extract from KIDO's Diary dated 5 September 1941	10214
	1632W(68)	1135		Extract from KIDO's Diary dated 6 September 1941	10215
	2507	1136		Parent document of TOJO's inter- rogation dated 23 February 1946 10219	
	2507A	1136-	-A	Extracts from the TOJO inter- rogation of 23 February 19+6	10219
	2509	1137		Parent document of TOJO's interrogation dated 11 Harch 1946 10219	
	2509A	1137-	-A	Extract therefrom	10220

Of

## EXHIBITS

					In
Doc. No.	Pros.	Def.	Description	For Ident.	Evidence
1632W(69)	1138		Entry from KIDO's Diary dated 11 September 1941		10222
1457B	1139		Tologram Prof NOMURA to TOYODA dated 12 September 1941		10223
2546A	1140		Report of extract from the Tokyo Nichi-Nichi dated 13 September 1941 re Labor Mobilization Plan		10228
1632W(71)	1141		Extract from KIDO's Diary dated 26 September 1941		10230
1632W(72)	1142		ditto 29 September 1941		10231
16327(75)	1143		ditto 7 October 1941		10232
2593D-4	1144		Telegram from NOMURA to Tokyo dated 8 October 1941 (Part of 4)	°ı	10233
15-1	1145		Telegra: from NOMURA to Tokyo dated 8 October 1941 (Part of 4)	2	10234
1632W(76)	1146		Extract from KIDO's Diary dated 9 October 1941		10241
1632W(77)	1147		Extract from KIDO's Diary dated 12 October 1941		10246
497A	1148		Resignation of the 3rd KONOYE Cabinet		10250
1632W(78)	1149		Extract from KIDO's Diery dated 12 October 1941		10274
1632\(79)	1150		Entry from Marquis KIDO's Diary dated 15 October 194	1.	10275

Tuesday, 12 November, 1946 2 3 INCERNATIONAL MILITARY TRIBUNAL FOR THE FAR EAST Court House of the Tribunal War Hinistry Building Tokyo, Japan 6 7 The Tribunal met, pursuant to adjournment, 8 9 at 0930. 10 11 12 13 Appearances: 14 For the Tribunal, same as before with the 15 exception of the HOMORABLE R. B. P.L, Morber from 16 India, not sitting. 17 For the Prosecution Section, same as before. 18 For the Defense Section, same as before. 19 20 21 22 (English to Japanese and Japanese 23 to English interpretation was made by the 24 Language Section, IMTFE.) 25

M or se & Abram

3

10

11

13

1-1

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The International Military Tribunal for the Far East is now in session.

THE PRESIDENT: All the accused are present except OKAWA and HIRANUMA, who are represented by their respective counsel. I have a certificate from the Medical Superintendent of Sugamo Prison, certifying that HIRANUMA is unable to attend today on account of illness. The certificate will be recorded and filed.

Mr. Higgins.

MR. HIGGINS: With the permission of the Tribunal, I shall conclude the reading of exhibit 1106.

THE PRESIDENT: This is hr. Hull's statement?
MR. HIGGINS: Yes, sir.

Beginning with the first complete paragraph on page 9:

"II. CONVERSATIONS AND DIVELOPMENTS PRIOR TO JULY 1941.

"On February 14, 1941 the President received the new Japanese Ambassador, Admiral NOMURA, in a spirit of cordiality and said that they could talk candid-ly. He pointed out that relations between the United States and Japan were deteriorating and mentioned Japanese movements southward and Japanese entry into the

Tripartite Agreement. The President suggested that the Ambassador might like to re-examine and frankly discuss with the Secretary of State important phases of American-Japanese relations.

"On March 8, in my first extended conversation with the Japanese Ambassador, I emphasized that the American people had become fully aroused over the German and Japanese movements to take charge of the seas and of the other continents for their own arbitrary control and to profit at the expense of the welfare of all of the victims.

"On March 14 the Japanese Ambassador saw
the President and me. The President agreed with an
intimation by the Ambassador that matters between our
two countries could be worked out without a military
clash and emphasized that the first step would be removal of suspicion regarding Japan's intentions. With
the Japanese Foreign Minister MATSUOKA on his way to
Berlin, talking loudly, and Japanese naval and air
forces moving gradually toward Thailand, there was
naturally serious concern and suspicion.

"On April 16 I had a further conversation with the Japanese Ambassador. I pointed out that the one paramount preliminary question about which our Government was concerned was a definite assurance in

advance that the Japanese Government had the willingness and power to abandon its present doctrine of con-2 quest by force and to adopt four principles which our 4 Government regarded as the foundation upon which relations between nations should rest, as follows: 6 "(1) Respect for the territorial integrity and the sovereignty of each and all nations; 8 "(2) Support of the principle of non-interference in the internal affairs of other countries;

"(3) Support of the principle of equality, including equality of commercial opportunity;

"(4) Non-disturbance of the status quo in the Pacific except as the status quo may be altered by peaceful means.

"I told the Japanese Ambassador that our Government was willing to consider any proposal which the Japanese Government might offer such as would be consistent with those principles.

"On May 12 the Japanese Ambassador presented a proposal for a general settlement. The essence of that proposal was that the United States should request Chiang Kai-shek to negotiate peace with Japan, and, if Chiang should not accept the advice of the United States, that the United States should discontinue its assistance to his Government; that normal trade

10

11

12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19 20

22 23

24

relations between the United States and Japan should be resumed; and that the United States should help Japan acquire access to facilities for the exploitation of natural resources—such as oil, rubber, tin and nickel—in the southwest Pacific area. There were also other provisions which Japan eventually dropped, calling for joint guarantee of independence of the Philippines, for the consideration of Japanese immigration to the United States on a non-discriminatory basis, and for a joint effort by the United States and Japan to prevent the further extension of the European war and for the speedy restoration of peace in Europe.

20 ,

"The proposal also contained an affirmation of Japan's adherence to the Tripartite Pact and a specific reference to Japan's obligations thereunder to come to the aid of any of the parties thereto if attacked by a power not at that time in the European war or in the Sino-Japanese conflict, other than the Soviet Union which was expressly excepted.

"The peace conditions which Japan proposed to offer China were not defined in clear-cut terms. Patient exploring, however, disclosed that they included stipulations disguised in innocuous-sounding formulas whereby Japan would retain control of various strategic resources, facilities and enterprises in

China and would acquire the right to station large bodies of Japanese troops, professedly for 'joint defense against Communism,' for an indefinite period in extensive key areas of China proper and Inner Mongolia.

"Notwithstanding the narrow and one-sided character of the Japanese proposals, we took them as a starting point to explore the possibility of working out a broad-rauge settlement, covering the entire Pacific area, along lines consistent with the principles for which this country stood.

"On May 14, Mr. MATSUOKA, the Japanese Minister of Foreign Affairs, in the course of a conversation with Ambassador Grew, said that both Prince
KONOYE and he were determined that Japan's southward
advance should be carried out only by peaceful means,
'unless,' he added significantly, 'circumstances render this impossible.'

"In reply to the Ambassador's inquiry as to what circumstances he had in mind, Mr. HATSUOKA referred to the concentration of British troops in Malaya and other British measures. When the Ambassador pointed out that such measures were of a defensive character, the Minister's reply was that those measures were regarded as provocative by the Japanese

public, which might bring pressure on the Government to act.

"On May 27, 1941, President Roosevelt proclaimed the existence of an 'unlimited national emergency' and in a radio address on the same day he declared that our whole program of aid for the democracies had been based on concern for our own security. He warned of the conditions which would exist should Hitler be victorious.

"The President and I were sure that the preclamation would be noticed not only by Hitler but also by the Japanese war lords.

"On May 28 I told the Japanese Ambassador that I had it in mind before passing from our informal conversations into any negotiations with Japan to talk out in strict confidence with the Chinese Government the general subject matter involved in the proposals.

"During the next few weeks there were a number of conversations for the purpose of clarifying various points and narrowing areas of difference. We repeatedly set forth our attitude on these points—the necessity of Japan's making clear its relation to the Axis in case the United States should be involved in self-defense in the war in Europe; application of the principle of non-interference in the internal

affairs of another country and withdrawal of Japanese troops from Chinese territory; application of
the principle of non-discrimination in commercial
relations in China and other areas of the Pacific and
assurance of Japan's peaceful intent in the Pacific.
I emphasized that what we were seeking was a comprehensive agreement which would speak for itself as an
instrument of peace.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

13

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

"The Japanese pressed for a complete reply to their proposals of Pay 12. Accordingly, on June 21, the Ambassador was given our views in the form of a tentative redraft of their proposals. In that redraft there was suggested a formula which would make clear that Japan was not committed to take action against the United States should the latter be drawn by self-defense into the European war. It was proposed that a further effort be made to work out a satisfactory solution of the question of the stationing of Japanese troops in China and of the question of economic cooperation between China and Japan. There also was climinated any suggestion that the United States would discontinue aid to the Chinese Government. Various other suggested changes were proposed in the interest of clarification for the purpose of harmonizing the proposed settlement with our stated principles."

MR. HIGGINS: Mr. President, this document concludes that part of our phase of the case dealing with relations between the British Commonwealth and the United States on the one hand and Japan on the other, ending June 30, 1941.

Mr. John W. Fihelly, First Assistant United States Attorney for the District of Columbia, of the United States, will present the evidence dealing with the relations between July 1, 1941 and December 7, 1941.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Fihelly.

MR. FIHELLY: Mr. President and Members of the Tribunal, we now treat the phase of our period covering American, British and Japanese relations from 1 July 1941 to and including the Pearl Harbor attack on 7 December 1941.

Our evidence will show that all Imperial Conferences were preceded by Liaison Conferences where preliminary decisions were made on matters of important national policy.

It has already been shown by one of Mr. Hardin's introductory documents, IPS document No. 1699, Court exhibit No. 1103, that six Liaison Conferences were held immediately following Germany's attack on Russia of June 22nd.

A Liaison Conference was hald the very next day after the German attack on Russia, namely on June 23rd, and other Liaison Conferences followed on June 25th, 26th, 27th, 28th, and 30th.

Following those six Liaison Conferences, an Imperial Conference was held on July 2nd, this being the first of the four important Imperial Conferences of the year.

I now offer in evidence IPS document No.

1855; which gives the names of those who attended
this and later Imperial Conferences of 1941 which we
will come to in their order of date.

ject to the introduction of this document, on the ground that it appears on its face that it was made on recollection, apparently, only, of the Director of General Affairs, Central Liaison Office. All the records of the conferences were destroyed in air raids, and it is quite apparent that he is guessing as to who was present at these various conferences. because he stated in his own affidavit that in many cases certain of these men were there, and he doesn't set forth just what investigation was made or what documents were seen in order to ascertain who was actually present at these conferences, which were,

as you know, very important conferences.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Blewett.

MR. BLEWETT: I would like to include in that objection also, your Honor, demand for the witness for cross-examination, unless the prosecution is going to put him on.

THE PRESIDENT: What Mr. Logan says, of course, goes to weight only and not to admissibility. The destruction of the documents reduces the probative value of the evidence, but does not destroy its value. Short of calling the witness, it represents the best evidence available, as I understand the position. The document is really admissible, but whether the person responsible -- what is his name?

MR. FIHELLY: Mr. IGUCHI.

THE PRESIDENT: -- is a matter upon which my colleagues will have to decide. They may think he ought to be called if he is in Tokyo.

(Whereupon, the President conferred with the Members on the Eench.)

THE PRESIDENT: The majority of the Court think that the person making the statement should be called, because of the great importance attached to what he says, so the defense' objection to the statement is overruled, but their application that the

witness be called is upheld.

You may proceed with the reading of the statement, but he must be called for cross-examina-tion.

Admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document No. 1855 will receive exhibit No. 1107.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked prosecution's exhibit
Mo. 1107 and received in evidence.)

MR. FIHELLY: This document, exhibit No. 1107, shows that the following individuals were present at the Imperial Conference of July 2, 1941:

KONOYE, Frime Minister; MATSUOKA, Foreign
Minister; TOJO, War Minister; OKAWA, Navy Minister,
KAWADA, Finance Minister; SUZUKI, President of Planning Board; HIRANUMA, Home Minister; SUGIYAMA, Chief
of Army General Staff; NAGANO, Chief of Naval General
Staff; TOMITA, Chief Secretary of the Cabinet; OKA,
Director of Military Affairs Bureau, Navy Ministry;
TSUKADA, Vice-Chief of Army General Staff; KONDO,
Vice-Chief of Naval General Staff; HARA, President
of the Privy Council.

MR. FIHELLY: As the July 2nd Imperial Conference was the first of the four Imperial

Conferences of 1941, and the national policies decided upon had a direct bearing on the other three that followed, and on what the evidence will show to be, the aggressive wars that followed, we now refer to, because of its importance and, particularly, call the Court's attention to the provisions of Prosecution Exhibit No. 588, a document which was found at the Foreign Ministry, which gives information as to the national policies agreed on and the decisions made at the Imperial Conferences of 1941.

As to the July 2nd Imperial Conference, this document shows, that with Russia now at war with Germany, and with the pressure off from the north, Japan speedily moved southwards to French Indo-China to carry out the national policies decided on at that first Imperial Conference. Our evidence will also show that Japan, with each step, prepared for war with the United States and Great Britain, should those countries in any way interfere with her plans in that respect.

as shown by this exhibit, there were three policies decided on at the Imperial Conference of July 2nd. Briefly, they were that:

(1) Regardless of any change in the international situation, Japan will adhere to the principle of .

1 2

ostablishing a "Greater East Asia Co-Prosperity Sphere," ---

MR. LOGAN: If the Tribunal please, I don't know whether the prosecution is giving evidence here or making a summation or opening statement, or just what it is. I believe the opening statement has already been made for this phase.

**2** 

D 1 d 2 & 3 E 4 d 5

necting remarks between documents. He is now readang part of exhibit 588. If the transcript is to
constitute intelligible reading something of the
sort must be done that has been done. But I repeat
that we want counsel to say no more than is absolutely necessary to meet that one purpose, to connect what they are saying and reading.

MR. FIHELLY: I may say to Mr. President and Members of the Tribunal, that what I am doing here is just taking the very meat from the exhibit, rather than repeating and rereading it all.

THE PRESIDENT: It would be better to read the material parts of this very important and not over-long document.

MR. FIHELLY: Mr. President, I will proceed with another document in the meantime, because I do not have the original or a copy here, I just have the descriptive remarks connected with it.

MR. BROOKS: Mr. President, if the Tribunal please, I notice in this list of members there is an OIKAWA, and he pronounced it OKAWA. That is not the accused, OKAWA; that is a different spelling, and I would like to call the Court's attention to it, if

you please.

THE PRESIDENT: We have not been misled.

MR. FIHELLY: As also showing that an Imperial conference was held on July 2, and the purpose for which it was held, we now offer in evidence International Prosecution document 1632EE, which is an extract from the KIDO diary dated July 2, 1941.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document

No. 1632EE will receive exhibit No. 1108.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked prosecution's exhibit No. 1108 and received in evidence.)

MR. FIHELLY (Reading):

"At 10 A.M., Imperial Conference was held in the Imperial Palace, and the national policy to cope with the new situation accompanying the outbreak of the Russo-German War was decided."

Paragraph four: "At 1 P.M. Prince KONOYE called me at my officeroom, and we talked. He said that he found it difficult to understand the true intention of Foreign Minister MATSUOKA."

And the next and last paragraph: "From 2 to 3 PM, was received in audience by the Emperor, and

was told about the progress of the Imperial Conference. At 3:20 P.M., made arrangements with the chief aide-de-2 camp for the establishment of the Imperial General 3 Headquarters within the Imperial Court and for the Imperial visit to HAYAMA." Now, with respect to exhibit 588, a copy 6 of which I now have before me, Mr. President and 7 Members of the Tribunal --THE PRESIDENT: Read from the document. 9 Don't attempt your own precis of it, Mr. Fihelly; 10 otherwise you will have trouble from the defense. 11 MR. FIHELLY: Document 1652, page 1, this 12 being exhibit 588: 13 "Resolutions Concerning the Japanese-14 American Negotiations Adopted Through 15 the Conferences in the Imperial Presence." 16 THE PRESIDENT: Now you are going to deal 17 with the first conference, I understand. 18 MR. FIHELLY: That is right. 19 THE FRESIDENT: For the time being read only 20 so much as bears on that, and don't make a precis. 21 MR. FIHELLY (Reading): "Through the 22 Imperial conference on July 2, 1941." 25 Resolution adopted through the Imperial 24 conferences of that date. 25

"A summary of the Empire's POLICY according to the changes in the situation.

"I PRINCIPLE.

1

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

"a. Regardless of any change in the international situation, Japan will adhere to the principle of establishing a 'Creater East Asia Co-prosperity Sphere,' and accordingly contribute to the establishment of world-peace.

"b. Japan will continue the disposition of the Chinese Incident and will ston up the Southward advance in order to establish for herself a basis for self-existence and self-defense. The Northern problems will be dealt with according to the changes in the situations.

"c. Japan will remove all obstacles for the achievement of the foregoing purpose.

"II SUMMARY.

"a. In order to hasten the surrender of Chiang's
Regime, further pressure through the Southern
regions will be strengthened to the changes in the
situations, we shall execute our rights of war against
the Chunking Regime at the proper time, and shall
confiscate the enemy concessions in China.

"b. For the sake of her self-existence and selfdefense Japan will continue necessary diplomatic regions and will also promote other necessary
measures. For this purpose we shall make preparations
for a war with Britain and the United States. First,
we shall accomplish the execution of our schemes
against French Indo-China and Thailand following the
'Principle Policy toward French Indo-China and
Thailand,' and 'Matters concerning the Promotion of
our Southern Policy,' thereby stabilizing our
structure for the Southern advance. In order to
achieve the above-mentioned purpose, Japan will not
hesitate to have a war with Britain and the United
States.

í

"c. Though the spirit of the tripartite axis will form the keynote of our attitude toward the German-Soviet War, we shall not intervene for a while, but take voluntary measures by secretly preparing arms against the Soviet Union. Meanwhile, diplomatic negotiations will be continued with detailed precautions; and should the conditions of the German-Soviet war progress favourable to Japan we shall execute arms to solve the northern problems, thereby securing stability in the Northern regions.

"d. In the accomplishment of the above, all measures, especially in the case of execution of arms, must be

decided so that there will be no great difficulty 1 in maintaining our basic posture for a war with 2 Britain and the United States. 3 "e. We shall do our utmost in preventing the United 4 States participation in the War through diplomatic 5 measures in the pro-arranged programmes, and every 6 other possible way, but in case she should participate. 7 Japan will act according to the Tripartite Pact. 9 However, the time and method of military action will 10 be decided independently. 11 "f. We shall shift promptly to the complete stabiliza-12 tion of internal war-time structures, particularly we 13 shall strive for the stabilization of national defense. 14 "g. As to the definite measures we shall decide 15 separately." 16 And that is all, Mr. President and 17 Members of the Tribunal, from that document to be 18 read at this time. 19 We shall have occasion, Mr. President 20 21

We shall have occasion, Mr. President and Members of the Tribunal, to refer to many extracts of interrogations of the defendant TOJO, and we accordingly offer at this time International Prosecution document 2731, which is a group certification of the interrogations of the defendant TOJO on various dates set forth in the certification, during January,

22

23

24

February and March of this year. The certification is the same as the individual certifications which have been heretofore used, and only differs in that it is a group certification. THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms. CLERY OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document 2731 will receive exhibit No. 1109. (Whereupon, the document above re-ferred to was marked prosecution's exhibit No. 1109 and received in evidence.) 

MR. FIMELLY: To show that the defendant TOJO, as Minister of War, called the first Imperial Conference of July 1941, and to give his version of why it was called and who attended it, we now offer in evidence International Prosecution Document 2502-B, which is an extract from the TOJO interrogation of February 13 of this year, pages 8 and 9.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Blewett.

MR. BLEWETT: If the Court please, I understand the prosecution is not going to offer the full statement in evidence or even for identification, but simply read excerpts, and in some of these excerpts are just one or two questions and answers.

THE PRESIDENT: The parent document should be tendered for identification as hitherto.

MR. BLEWETT: The reason I make the statement, sir, is because in many places after one or two days' interrogations the witness has gone back to certain questions and explained them more fully; and if these excerpts just simply show the one question and answer it is not a complete answer.

THE PRESIDENT: You will have to speak with the utmost simplicity; otherwise you will have everything translated twice into Japanese.

Speak into the microphone, speak slowly,

speak clearly.

Mr. Blewett, as the Tribunal has frequently pointed out, you will be at liberty to tender later when the defense are giving evidence, such questions and answers as are omitted by the prosecution. That is all I can say at this stage.

The document is admitted on the usual terms. But the parent document, if I may call it such, must be tendered for identification.

MR. FIHELLY: We offer the parent document for identification and ask that this extract be marked as an exhibit.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document No. 2502 will receive exhibit No. 1110 for identification only, and the excerpt therefrom, to-wit, document No. 2502-B, will receive exhibit No. 1110-A.

(Whereupon, prosecution's document
No. 2502 was marked prosecution's exhibit No. 1110
for identification. Prosecution's document
No. 2502-B was marked prosecution's exhibit No. 1110-A,
and was received in evidence.)

MR. FIHELLY: I now read, Mr. President and Members of the Tribunal, from exhibit 1110-A.

"Q Who requested this Imperial Conference of July 1941?

"A Since the problem of stationing troops in southern French Indo-China was the principal problem, it may have been the War Minister, I believe.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

"Q Do you not recall whether or not you called this meeting? You were "ar Minister.

Actually, I think I probably requested it. However, things were decided usually at the Liaison Conference where they were decided practically by common consent. You asked me who requested this conference and I cannot answer because usually the War Minister, the Navy Minister, Foreign Minister, and the Premier got together and agreed on a subject. " Then the actual drafting of the plan was left to the three secretaries, to whom I have referred in previous interviews. The plan was then presented to the Liaison Conference from which the decision to request an Imperial Conference might come. Just now you looked very solemn when you asked me the question of who called the conference. It was called by the process I have described, but the responsibility in connection with the movement of troops into southern Indo-China was my responsibility as War Minister. It is distasteful to me to try to avoid responsibility."

That the Tripartite Pact was the basis of every move which Japan made at this time in connection

with the negotiations with the United States is shown by International Prosecution document 4062-J, which is now offered in evidence, this being a report dated July 3, 1941, referring to the defendant OSHIMA, from Weizsaecker, an official of the Foreign Office in Berlin, to the German Foreign Minister Ribbentrop.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document
No. 4062-J will receive exhibit No. 1111.

"Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked prosecution's exhibit No. 1111, and was received in evidence.)

MR. FIHELLY: Before reading this document, I desire to call the attention of the Tribunal and counsel to one word which is a typographical error which appears in the next to the last paragraph on the first page of the document, the word "wear." We have examined the original document and are informed that shou'd be "weak" instead of "wear."

THE PRESIDENT: It is w-e-a-r here.

MR. FIHELLY: May I read the document,
Mr. President, as it is? I now read from exhibit 1111.
"Teletype. Berlin, 3 July 1941. To German

Minister of Foreign Affairs.

"At the occasion of a conversation with

Ambassador OSHIMA concerning the recognition of WANG CHING-WEI by Denmark and Finland, I have drawn the Ambassador's attention to the latest 'Revue Diplomatique.' In this the Japanese attitude after the Cabinet Session is familiarly described as iridescent.

"In this connection OSHIMA told me he had last night received an interim report from Tokyo concerning the present Japanese attitude, running about as follows:

"1. Substance and spirit of the Tri-Partite
Pact will remain the basis of Japanese foreign
politics.

"2. Japan wants to continue fighting the Communist organizations with Germany and strengthen her military preparations for this purpose (presumably in Manchuria.)

"3. By bringing pressure to bear on England and the United States in the Southwest Pacific,

Japan wants to tie down both of the Anglo-Saxon states.

"4. All efforts shall be directed towards the termination of the Chinese conflict.

"OSHIMA said that in this report he especially did not understand item 2. He has wired to Tokyo for a commentary and has pointed out the great danger of missing an historic opportunity. He finally stated

24

his unwillingness to help champion a - and here comes the word "wear" -- "policy on the part of Japan.

"I confirmed this surprising communication to Ambassador OSHIMA with the information that Ambassador OTT has just informed us of the unsatisfactory outcome of the Cabinet Session."

On July 5, 1941, there is an entry in KIDO's Diary which throws light on the nature of the Japanese intentions at this time. This entry we now offer in evidence, International Prosecution document 1632-W(59).

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document

No. 1632-W(59) will receive exhibit No. 1112.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked prosecution's exhibit No. 1112, and was received in evidence.)

Wolf & Greenber

MR. FIHELLY: I now read from prosecution exhibit No. 1112, KIDO's diary entry, July 5, 1941.

"Foreign Minister MATSUOKA proceeded to the Palace at 2 p.m., and we talked after his withdrawal from the Imperial presence. He said that information of the diplomatic parley between France and Japan relative to the Japanese Army's entry into French Indo-China seemed to have leaked out, for Ambassador Craigie had lodged a protest with us through Mr. OHASHI, Vice Foreign Minister, saying that if the understanding reached between France and Japan were true, England would consider it a serious problem. Therefore the negotiations which had been originally scheduled to start today or thereabout were decided to be postponed for another five days in order to watch necessary developments."

On July 7, 1941 Ambassador Ott at Tokyo made a report to Ribbentrop, including some remarks concerning the defendant SHIRATORI, International Prosecution document 4062-K, which we now offer in evidence as tending to show the close relationship between Japan and Germany at this time and the disposition of Japan to wage aggressive war.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.
CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document

No. 4062-K will receive exhibit No. 1113. 2 (Whereupon, the document above 3 referred to was marked prosecution's exhibit No. 1113 and received in evidence.) 5 THE PRESIDENT: If you use that word "aggressive" unnecessarily, as you have done, the defense will tell you you are arguing a case. 8 Mr. Blewett. 9 MR. BLEWETT: We wish to object to the remark, 10 your Honor. We do not like to object continuously 11 on that question and we shall not do so unless it is 12 used more than we think it should be at any time in 13 the form of testimony or evidence on the part of the 14 prosecution. 15 MR. FIHELLY: I shall now read the last 16 eight lines of document, prosecution exhibit 1113. 17 The first part of the telegram all relates to SHIRATORI's 18 illness and I shall not read it unless requested to. 19 The wire is from Tokyo, July 7, 1941, telegram 20 of 3rd, No. 965, Foreign Ministry. For the German 21 Foreign Minister," and the last eight lines read as 22 follows: 23 "I transmitted him the best wishes of the 24 25 German Foreign Minister for his (SHIRATORI) recovery

which evidently greatly pleased him. He asked me to

thank the German Foreign Minister and to assure
/him/ that as soon as he was able to take up his
political work again, he wants unchangedly to advocate
an active course for Japan, and that he regarded the
entry into the war against Russia as the most urgent
goal."

It has already been shown by prosecution
exhibit 640, a wire from MATSUOKA to Foreign Minister
KATO,dated July 12, 1941, that at this time Japan was
exerting pressure on the Vichy Government to allow
it to send troops into South French Indo-China and
we now offer in evidence International Prosecution
document 4052-C, a wire of July 15 from Ott to Berlin,
to show the German connection in this matter and to
indicate the part that the Tri-Partite Pact played in

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document

No. 4052-C will receive exhibit No. 1114.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked prosecution's exhibit No. 1114 and received in evidence.)

MR. FIHELLY: I now read from prosecution exhibit No. 1114:

"Tokyo - 15 July 1941 - 1150 hours

3.

this enterprise.

"Arrival 16 July 1941 - 7.30 hours

"No. 1246 of 15 July

"MOST URGENT!

"Secret.

1

2

3

4

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

"MARGINAL NOTE: Sent to Special Train without a number Tel. Kt. - 16 July

"Japanese Vice Foreign Minister just asked me to see him and informed me of the following with the request for handling it strictly confidentially.

"The Japanese Government has commissioned its Ambassador in Vichy to enter into negotiations with the French Government in order to obtain their consent to station a number of Japanese troops in outh Indo-China and to set up one naval base each in Saigon and Comoran/ sic. Camranh/ as well as several air bases. The object was a common defense with the French authorities against harmful influences on the part of the De Gaulle movement fostered by England and America. The Japanese Government is vitally interested in a peaceful development of Indo-China politically and economically, especially economically, in order to secure the vital supply of foodstuffs and raw materials. The Japanese Government has no territorial intentions - does not wish to infringe on the sovereignity of Indo-China and intends to stand by the agreements of August of

last year and the treaties recently signed with the French Government re Indo-China. She hopes to reach her goal by peaceful means but is determined to definitely succeed against any eventual interference by England or America. Japan expects the negotiations to be successfully terminated by 20 July and will then commence the occupation. Should the French Government claim the help of the German Government against the Japanese request, Japan requests the German Government to influence Vichy in the sense of a peaceful set+lement.

"The Italian Ambassador will be informed to the same effect. One group missing. Other governments and the French Ambassador in Tokyo will not be informed."

"Ott," name appearing at the end.

-11

3/

Next comes two entries from the KIDO Diary,
dated July 15 and 16, International Prosecution
document 1632W (60) and (61) which we now offer in

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document

No. 1632W (60) will receive exhibit No. 1115, and

document No. 1632W (61) will receive exhibit No.

1116.

(Whereupon, the documents above referred to were marked prosecution's exhibit Nos. 1115 and 1116, respectively, and received in evidence.)

MR. FIHELLY: Mr. President and Members of the Tribunal, the first document, 1115, is a lengthy one and only refers in general, as the reading of the document will show, to the fact that MATSUOKA's views as Foreign Minister had brought about a Cabinet crisis. I do not intend to read this document unless requested but will go on to the other, exhibit 1116, which shows what happens as a result of that crisis.

THE PRESIDENT: We all think that you should read this.

MR. FIHELLY: I am prepared to read it, your Honor.

evidence, both.

I now read, Mr. President and Members of the Tribunal, from prosecution exhibit 1115:

2

3

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

-33

"'Extract from Entry from Marquis KIDO's'
Diary, 15 July 41'

"MATSUDAIRA came from Tokyo at eight a.m. to report on the outcome of his meeting with Premier KONOYE. There still remained a certain vagueness about Foreign Minister MATSUOKA's attitude towards the proposal formula for a Japan-American understanding. Under the circumstances, up to yesterday the Premier was of the opinion that should the Foreign Minister agree to the formula prepared by the Director of Military Affairs and the Director of Naval Affairs, with a few alterations he would push the policy as it was. Although the Foreign Minister had no objection to the formula, he insisted on giving instructions to Ambassador NOMURA in Washington to the effect that the Government would reject the oral statement of Mr. Cordell Hull, as it was of a disgraceful nature for Japan. After that he said that he would wire a compromise formula to Admiral Kichisaburo NO-MURA. But Prince KONOYE was of the opinion that our formula should be wired at the same time, because if we followed MATSUOKA's idea, there would be a possibility that America might take it as our intention to

discontinue the negotiations. Adviser SAITO advised that since it could be done by the Ambassador as deemed best, how about carrying it on according to the Foreign Minister's view? But the Premier contradicted him and sent him to Mr. MATSUCKA in order to persuade him to agree with the Premier's opinion. Such was the position about 10:30 yesterday evening. At 1:30 this morning Prince KONOYE telephoned me to say that he had waited long for MATSUOKA's answer in vain, so he had sent Director TERAZAKI to Mr. MATSU-OKA to hold an enquiry into the circumstances. It became clear that he had sent his instructions to NOMURA by himself and not through the medium of the competent director, and that he intended to call on me at Hayama to work out remedial measures. I had a conference with the chief secretary regarding policy in the event of the expected resignation en bloc of the Cabinit. At this time when the tension prevailing over the people regarding the present political situation was so strong that even some higher schools closed lest disturbances should arise an overall political change for some unknown reason should be avoided by all means. For this purpose we should try everything possible to obtain, first of all, the resignation of the Foreign Minister.

2

2

3

4

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

the case of failure of our effort to make the Foreign Minister resign, resulting in the resignation 'en bloc' of the Cabinet, we resolved to prevail upon the Emperor to issue an Imperial command to Prince KONOYE to form a new Cabinet in order to tide over the difficult political situation.

"I went to my office at 11 a.m. I was received in audience by the Emperor from 1:35 p.m. to 2 p.m. to report on the above circumstances. Prince KONOYE came to see me from Tokyo at 3 p.m. We had a talk until 4:20 p.m. What I heard from the Premier was almost the same as the information I had received from the Chief Secretary.

"I thought it was advisable to urge Mr.

MATSUOKA's resignation to avoid a change of Cabinet,
but the Premier disagreed with me saying that if
this were done Mr. MATSUOKA and his party would make
propaganda to the effect that the cause which had compelled his resignation was nothing but pressure on the
part of the U.S.A. upon our Government."

I now read from prosecution exhibit 1116, extract of July 16, 1941 from the same diary:

"At 4 p.m. Marquis MATSUDAIRA telephoned me to say that the resignation 'en bloc' of the Cabinet had been decided at a special Cabinet meeting. I had my audience with the Emperor from 4:10 p.m. to 4:20 p.m. Prince KONOYE tendered the general resignation of his Ministry at 9 p.m. I proceeded to the Palace in response to a summons from His Majesty to receive an Imperial order as to the incoming Cabinet as follows: 'As the Premier has tendered the general resignation of his Ministry, we have ordered the Lord Chamberlain to invite the President of the Privy Council and the ex-Premiers to gather at the Palace and to ask their opinions in order to reply to our question as to who was the suitable person for the post of Premier in the succeeding Cabinet.' I talked with the Chief Secretary to arrange tomorrow's procedure and went back home at 10:30 p.m."

THE PRESIDENT: We will recess for fifteen minutes.

(Whereupon, at 1045, a recess was taken until 1100, after which the proceedings were resumed as follows:)

25

1

3

4

5

6

7

S

9

IO

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Goldberg & Spratt

MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The Tribunal is now resumed.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Fihelly.

MR. FIHELLY: Mr. President, the resignation of the second KONOYE Cabinet was followed by a meeting of senior statesmen on July 17, 1941 which led to the formation of the third KONOYE Cabinet. This is described in KIDO's diary under date of July 17, which entry we now offer in evidence, International Prosecution document No. 1632W (62).

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document

No. 1632W (62) was given exhibit No. 1117.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked prosecution's exhibit No. 1117 and received in evidence.)

MR. FIHELLY: I now read from prosecution's exhibit No. 1117, the entry of July 17, 1941:

"I left for Tokyo by train, leaving at 8:44 a.m. and proceeded to my office. I met HARA, President of the Privy Council, WAKATSUKI, OKADA, ABE, YONAI, HAYASHI and HIROTA at 1 p.m. Mr. KANROJI, Vice Lord Chamberlain, delivered an Imperial message, and then I expressed my opinion as follows:

"The Emperor has ordered me to gather

your opinions before I make a reply to the Throne regarding the Premier of the succeeding Cabinet.

I, therefore, would like to have your frank opinions on this matter as I had on a previous occasion. Of course, as you all know, this is not a formal conference and no resolutions will be passed, but I should like to have a heart-to-heart talk in a friendly atmosphere. Our utterances in this place are expected to be kept confidential.

"A copy of the Premier's resignation was circulated for reference.

pose of the resignation and the diplomatic problems
(i. e. the clash of opinions between the Premier
and Foreign Minister). ABE stressed the necessity
of having Prince KONOYE as Premier; OKADA recommended Prince KONOYE, saying that no other person
could exercise general control over both the army
and political circles; HAYASHI held the same opinion
as ABE and OKADA; HARA did not differ from the abovementioned; HIROTA laid stress upon the reinforcement
of G. H. Q. and the formation of a military Cabinet,
but he consented to the opinion of the rest upon my
explanation of the establishment of G. H. Q. YONAI
said that Prince KONOYE was the most suitable man to

ported Prince KONOYE though his attitude was not positive. "Te recommended Prince KONOYE unanimously and closed our meeting at 2 p. m. The Emperor and Empress returned to Tokyo from their Hayama Villa. I visited the Emperor from 3:30 p. m. to 4:10 p. m. to report on the progress of our meeting, while the Lord Chamberlain telephoned to Prince KONOYE to request his presence at the Palace. It 5:05 p. m. Prince KONOYE proceeded to the Palace to receive the Imperial comments form a cabinet. OH/SHI, Vice Foreign Minister, visited me at 5:50 p. m. to explain the draft understanding between the U.S.A. and this country."

. 25 

MR. FIHELLY: It has been proved by Court exhibits 102 to 129, inclusive, that the third KONOYE Cabinet contained the following accused in the following offices: TOJO as War Minister; KIMURA as Vice War Minister; SUZUKI, as Minister of State and President of the Planning Board, all retaining their former offices, and HIRANUMA, as Minister of State instead of Home Minister. The new Foreign Minister replacing MATSUOKA was Admiral TOYODA.

The new Cabinet continued the policy of the old with regard to French Indo-China and associated matters, as appears from Prosecution Exhibit 642, a wire of July 19, 1941, and from Prosecution Exhibit 644, a wire of July 20, 1941.

As additional evidence on this same point, we now offer in evidence International Prosecution document No. 4052-F, a wire of Ott to Berlin dated July 20, 1941.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document

No. 4052-F will receive exhibit No. 1118.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked prosecution's exhibit No. 1118 and received in evidence.)

,

MR. FIHELLY: I now read from prosecution's 1 exhibit No. 1118: 2 "Telegram (Secret Cipher Process) 3 "Tokyo. 20 July 1941, 1.50 hours 4 "Arrival, 20 July, 4.20 hours. 5 "To be kept in Locked File 6 "No. 1297 of 19 July 7 "Most Urgent! 8 "In reference to telegram No. 1295 9 Pol VIII of 19 July. 10 "The translation of the Foreign Minister's 11 declaration follows: 12 " 'Entrusted with the post of Foreign 13 Minister, I permit myself to hereby introduce myself 14 to the German (the Italian) Ambassador in Tokyo. 15 16 " 'I respectfully request that you transmit 17 my regards to your Foreign Minister and to inform him 18 that I was unexpectedly entrusted with the post of 19 Foreign Minister in the new forming of the Cabinet. 20 " 'At the same time I would like to officially 21 inform you and your government of the change of the 22 Imperial Japanese Government. As you have perceived 23 from the announcement by the Imperial Government, 24 this governmental change was effected in order to 25 firstly carry out political measures suitable to the

international situation, and further to be able to take, as soon as possible, the necessary steps for strengthening the inner political situation of the country. Outside of those points there existed no grounds for the governmental change, and the hitherto policy of the Japanese Empire will in no case undergo any change. I request that you take particular cognizance of the fact /that/ Japan's policy will rost on the basis of the spirit and aims of the Tripartite Pact, concluded between Japan, Germany, and Italy. No change whatever will take place in Japan's attitude toward Germany and Italy, as former Foreign I inister MATSUOKA clearly expressed to you, based on the cabinet decision of 2 July. I respectfully request that you also notify your government of the above. I personally was active in the Navy at the time of the conclusion of the Tripartite Pact, and had a share in its realization. 's successor of former Foreign Minister MATSUOKA, I intend to continue his foreign policy and to still strengthen the close unity of Japan, Germany, and Italy, and march forward in the common spirit. I express the hope that you, Mr. Ambassador, will bestow upon me, just as the former Foreign Minister, your valued friendship and collaboration. " " Signed "OTT".

21

2

3

4

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

22

2**3** 

1 2

On July 21, as it appears from Prosecution exhibit No. 1103 that a statement was issued with respect to future Limison Conferences, which statement is very brief and I will read it from that decument.

"4.00 p. m. - on 21 July at the office of
the High Command situated at the Imperial Grounds,
it was decided that the Prime Finister, accompanied
by the Foreign !inister and other Finisters concerned,
should attend this place occasionally and exchange
views and project national policies with Mar, Navy
ministers and Staffs of the High Command."

In other words, Fr. President and Members of the Tribunal, from now on we will have to get our information as to attendances at Liaison Conferences mainly from other sources, such as interrogetions of the defendants.

To show those who regularly a tended Liaison Conferences and the predominance of military and naval personnel we now offer in evidence, first: the parent document, I. P. S. 2512, and then the extracts therefrom, both the parent document and extract coming from the TOJO interrogation of Merch 15, 1946, the extract being from pages 2 and 3.

CLERK OF THE COULT: Prosecution's document

No. 2512 will be given exhibit No. 1119 for identification only. 2 THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms. 3 ("hereupen, the document above mentioned 4 was marked prosecution's exhibit No. 1119 5 6 for identification only.) 7 CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document 8 No. 2512-B, extract from the foregoing, will receive 9 exhibit No. 1119-A. 10 ("hereupon, the document above referred 11 to was marked presecution's exhibit No. 1119-A 12 and received in evidence.) 13 IR. FIHELLY: I now read from prosecution's 14 exhibit 1119-A, TOJO Interrogation extract for March 15 15. 16 "Extract from Interrogation of Hideki 17 TOJO, 15 March 1946., p. 2-3. 18 That nembers of the Liaison Con-19 ference were concerned with agreements or disagree-20 ments? 21 The ones who normally had this right were, 22 on the cabinet side, the Premier, Foreign Minister, 23 24 the War Minister, the Navy Minister, the President of 25 the Cabinet Planning Board. On the Supreme Command side, there were the two Chiefs of Staff. is

necessary, other cabinet members from the cabinet side, and the Assistant Chiefs of Staff from the Supreme Command side, also attended with this power, but the decisions were not by majority. They were unanimous. Discussions were continueduntil there was unanimous agreement.

"Q It it not true that the subject matter of all the Imperial Conferences held in 1941 had been, a short time previously, discussed by one or more Liaison Conferences and a decision made?

"A Generally speaking, yes. That is to say, in each case a proposal was decided upon which was put before the Imperial Conference for a decision.

"Q All of the seven regular members of the Liaison Conference, whom you have mentioned, also attended the Imperial Conferences and voted, did they not?

"A Yes, of course."

1	MR. FIHELLY: To show what reaction Japan's
2	threatened noves in French Indo-China had in the
3	United States, we offer in evidence the cabled
4	worning of Ambassador NOMURA to TOGO dated July
5	23, 1941, I. P. S. document No. 1383E.
6	THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.
7	Mr. Blewett.
8	IR. BLE ETT: If your Honor please, I think
9	the prosecution said "TOGO."
10	M. FIHELLY: It would be TOYODA. I had
11	TOGO written. Of course it would be TCYODA.
12	CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document
13	No. 1383E will receive exhibit No. 1120.
14	("hereupon, the document above referred
15	to was marked prosecution's exhibit No. 1120
16	and received in evidence.)
17	LM. FIHELLY: I now read prosecution's exhibit
18	No. 1120:
19	"Top Secret. Dispatched: 23 July 1941, P. M.,
20	TASHINGTON
21	"Received: 24 July 1941, A. H., Foreign Office
22	"To: Foreign Minister TOYODA
23	"From: Ambassador NOMURA
24	"No. 550 (Urgent - Top Secret - Ambassader's
25	Code)

1 2

3

6

7

8

10 11

12

13 14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21

23

24 25

"In the telegrams of July 3 and July 19, I have mentioned what the effects on Japanese-American relations would be when our southern advance is contemplated. Today, these effects have rapidly developed to a stage where there is danger that diplomatic relations between those two countries may be severed. Sensing the urgency of the situation from the conversation on Honday between AKASUGI and the Assistant Secretary of State, on Tuesday I requested an interview with the assistant Secretary of State. The request was finally granted and I am scheduled to interview him at 3:00 p.m. this coming Wednesday. Last night I met a cobinet member who had hurriedly returned from his trip. He too told me that Socretary HULL was taking a rost for his health and that WELLES was at a loss for what to do, and he intimated that he could not do anything for us. He asked for my opinion so I replied that I have not lost hope and will do my best according to my belief. However, it is believed that things will develop to a point just short of a diplomatic break.

"The cause for the rapid change of American public opinion toward JaPAN lies in the southward advance. They consider that the southward advance is the first step which will eventually lead to SINGAPORE

and the DUTCH EAST INDIES. The U. S. Navy officers are presumed to have the same opinion. There is criticism that on one hand JAPAN is planning her southward advance, and on the other hand is trying to conclude an agreement with AMERICA, and that the Secretary of State is being deceived. With his physical condition as such, there are also rumors that he may resign. Furthermore, reports from TOKYO, which tend to make our real intentions questionable, keep coming in frequently. Some of the outstanding ones are : (a) The negotiations carried on by us here will be 'torpedoed' in TCKYO. (b) JAPAN has explained to the Axis Powers that the adjustment of Japanese-American diplomacy is a strategen to complete preparations for a southward advance. There are rumors that even the highest authorities have begun to listen to these reports. Briefly speaking, even while the negotiations are going on, there are attempts at alienation and slander by the third countries. It is obvious that there are opposition movements both in JAPAN and ALERICA and the situation now is really difficult.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

"I suggest that the Japanese government lose no time in manifesting to the American ambassador the sincere intentions of JAPAN in regard to the adjustment

of Japanese-American diplomacy and the real intentions 1 of JaPan's occupation in FRENCH INDO-CHIMA. 2 also requested that you notify me as soon as possible 3 4 of the new cabinet's policy toward . WE ICA. As a 5 man given a new lease on life, I am resolved to do 6 my utmost. (End)" 7 To show how Japan obtained its military 8 bases in French Indo "China and the part which Germany 9 played therein, we now offer in evidence International 10 Prosecution Decument No. 4052-G. a wire of July 24th 11 from Ott to Borlin. 12 THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms. 13 CLE K OF THE COUNT: Prosecution's document 14 No. 4052-G will receive exhibit No. 1121. 15 ( hereupon, the document above referred 16 to was marked prescution's exhibit No. 1121 17 and received in evidence.) 13 IE. FIHELLY: I now read the top identifying 19 marks from the first two paragraphs of that exhibit: 20 "Telegram (Secret Cipher Process) 21 "Tokyo 24 July 41 1150 hours 22 "Arrival 25 July 41, 1.30 hours 23 "No. 1353 of 24 July 24 "Most urgent! " 25

1 2

 IR. FIHELLY: "e next effer in evidence International Prosecution Document No. 847-C, which is an official statement of the Japanese Bureau of Information dated July 29th, announcing Japan's occupation of French Indo-China.

THE PLUSIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

CLEAK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document

No. 847-C will be given exhibit No. 1122.

(Thereupon, the document above referred to was marked presecution's exhibit No. 1122 and received in evidence.)

Int. FIHELLY: I feel, Mr. President and Lembers of the Mribunal, that I should make this one explanation in connection with the Japanese processing of the documents. We were informed after the distribution had been made to the Court and defense attorneys that the date did not appear on the Japanese copies. The date which appears on the original and on the English copies should have been there, July 29, 1941.

I now read the identifying merks and the first paragraph of prosecution's exhibit 1122.

е n b 0 r & B a rt 10 11 12 13 14 15 16

G r

e

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

"July 29 (SHOWA 16) 1941

"With regard to the conclusion of the protocol between Japan and France, pertaining to the joint defense of French Indo-China, having hitherto been proceeding with the necessary measures for its conclusion and having obtained the Imperial sanction thereto on the 28th instant (yesterday), the Government has immediately instructed Ambassador KATO in France by telegram to sign the protocol. Ambassador KATO has signed and sealed the protocol on this 29th instant at Vichy, together with the Vice-

Premier and Foreign Minister Darlan, thus making it

effective immediately."

"Announcement of the Information Bureau:

As tending to show what Japan's real purpose was in moving into French Indo-China, particularly the southern part, we now offer in evidence extract from the TOJO interrogation of February 13, 1946 which is IPS document 2502A, pages 5, 9 and 13, and will ask that the parent document be given an identifying number. I think that one is already in, so all that has to be done is to have this extract marked and offered in evidence.

THE PRESIDENT: The extract is admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document 1 No. 2502A will be given exhibit No. 1123. 2 (Whereupon, the document above re-3 ferred to was marked prosecution's exhibit 4 No. 1123 and received in evidence.) 5 MR. FIHELLY: I now read the extract from prosecution exhibit 1123: 7 "'Q. When was the first Imperial Conference 8 9 called in 1941? 10 "'A. It was in July, I believe. I do not 11 remember defin bely. 12 "'Q What was the basic reason for the 13 Imperial Conference of July 1941? 14 "'A. I think that the basic reason was the 15 problem of dispatching troops to south Indo-China. 16 "'Q. Whose plan was considered in refer-17 ence to this problem? 18 "'A. I don't remember definitely, but 19 essentially I think it was probably the War Minister's 20 plan. Before the Imperial Conference, there had been 21 a Liaison Conference which had practically settled 22 the matter. This was attended by the Prime Minister, 23 the Ministers involved and the Chiefs of Staff. 24 "'Q. What Ministers were involved? 25 "'A. I don't remember clearly, but the

1 2

Premier, the Foreign Minister, and the Army and
Navy Ministers were involved. I am not sure whether
the President of the Planning Board was there or not.
The two Chiefs of Staff were also present. That was
the conference.'"

Unless there is objection from the Court and members of the defense, I will skip to page 3 which is the pertinent part: (Reading)

"'Q. Those same troops (referring to stationing of troops in French Indo-China) would also be of offensive assistance in case of war against those countries named (England, America and Holland)?

"'A. This is a matter which will be very serious if not understood. After the decision for war on the 1st of December 1941, offensive operations were taken. The character of the operations changed and they became offensive. However, at this time, operations were defensive in character."

For the same purpose we now offer in evidence International Prosecution document No. 4167 B which is an extract from the TOJO interrogation of February 15, 146, pages 3 and 4, and ask that the parent document be given an exhibit No. for identification.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document

No. 4167 will be given exhibit No. 1124 for identification only.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked prosecution's exhibit No. 1124 for identification.)

THE PRESIDENT: The excerpt is admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: The extract therefrom, to wit, document No. 4167 B, will be given exhibit No. 1124A.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked prosecution's exhibit

No. 1124A and received in evidence.)

MR. FIHELLY: I now read from prosecution's exhibit 1124A the question and answer in that extract:

"Q When Japan attacked the United States, what attacks were launched from French Indo-China?

"A An attack was made from Saigon, I believe, in French Indo-China southwest toward the east
coast of Thailand. Planes based in southern French
Indo-China also cooperated with the forces that were
making the landings. That was the main force, I
believe. However, there may have been some troop
movements south from Canton by ship. At the same

.

time, Navy carrier-based planes attacked Singapore.

These matters are from my recollection. They were really command problems."

There is an entry in the KIDO Diary of Jul

There is an entry in the KIDO Diary of July 31, '41 which we now offer in evidence, International Prosecution document No. 1632W (63), which gives as of this date certain views of the defendants NAGANO and KIDO with respect to war with the United States.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document

No. 1632W (63) will be given exhibit No. 1125.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked prosecution's exhibit
No. 1125 and received in evidence.)

MR. FIHELLY: I now read from prosecution exhibit No. 1125 the entry of 31 July '41, KIDO Diary:

.... "From 10:15 a.m. to 11 a.m. I was received in audience by the Emperor, and His Majesty was pleased to inform me about the report of Admiral NAGANO, Chief of Naval General Staff, in reply to the Imperial question regarding our policy toward the U.S.A. as follows:

"1. His ominion regarding wer was the same as that of the former Chief of Naval General

Staff, Prince FUSHIMI, in that we should try to avert wer as much as possible.

"2. He seemed very strongly opposed to
the Tri-Partite Alliance. He also seemed to be of
the opinion that so long as such an alliance existed,
the adjustment of Japanese-American diplometic
relations would be impossible.

"3. Suppose the adjustment of diplometic felations between the U.S.A. and Japan were impossible and we were cut off from supplies of oil, our oil stored up would run out in two years. In case a war with the U.S.A. breaks out the supply of oil would be only sufficient for one and a half years. Under these circumstances, there would be no other alternative but to take the initiative in operations against them.

"4. According to the written report submitted, the Emperor believed that we would win, since it is so stated there. When NAGANO was asked if it would be possible to win a sweeping victory as in the Russo-Japanese War, he replied to the Emperor that it was even doubtful whether or not we would ever win, to say nothing of a great victory as in the Russo-Japanese War.

"5. I was filled with trapidition by the

Imperial anxiety about the danger of having to wage
a desperate war.
"My answer to the Imperial speech was as
follows:
"I. Admiral NAGANO's opinion was too
simple.

of the Tri-Partite Pact in our previous parley with America, and I was very doubtful whether we could deepen the confidence of the U.S.A. for us by the act of annulment of the Pact, as the U.S.A. was a nation which showed respect for international treaties. We would only be held in contempt by the U.S.A. We were not quite without means of restoring the friendship between America and Japan. We must deliberate on the matter in a constructive manner. I would demand the Premier's careful consideration on this point. I met Navy Minister OIKAWA at noon to talk over Admiral NAGANO's report to the Throne. The Chief Aide-de-Camp to the Emperor visited me at 1 p.m. to talk with me on the same subject."

Before leaving the month of July, 1941, we will now introduce several documents to show that during that month the Japanese fleet practiced on what was generally known as the "YAMAMOTO Plan" to attack Pearl Harbor which plan, as testimony will later show, was the one which was actually used in that attack. We first offer in evidence for that purpose an extract from the interrogation of the defendant NAGANO of March 21, 1946, pages 7, 8 and 9 of International Prosecution document 2495-A, and we ask that the parent document be marked for identification.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document
No. 2495 will be given exhibit No. 1126 for identification only.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked prosecution's exhibit No. 1126 for identification.)

THE PRESIDENT: The extract is admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: The extract therefrom, to witn, 2495-A, will be given exhibit No. 1126A.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked prosecution's exhibit 1126A and received in evidence.)

I now read from prosecution e hibit 1126A 1 the interrogation extract of 21 March, pages 7, 8, and 9: 3 Admiral, who was the originator of the plan to attack Pearl Harbor? 5 After being studied by the Combined Fleets 6 the plan was brought forth in the spring of 1941 by 7 Admiral YAMAMOTO. It was a great secret in the Combined Fleets whereby Admiral YAMAMOTO and only one 9 or two other officers knew of it. When was the plan as prepared by Admiral "Q YAMAMOTO first called to your attention, Admiral? I first found out about this plan officially "A in October 1941. I heard prior to that that such a plan was being studied. "Q Admiral, when did you become Chief of the Naval General Staff? "A In April 1941. "Q And is it not a fact that this plan of Admiral YAMAMOTO's was called to your attention at that time? "A No, it was not. I believe it was at that time that YAMAMOTO first thought of the plan. Now, Admiral, you stated that the first

time the plan had been called to your attention

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

officially was in October 1941. When was the plan called to your attention unofficially?

"A About July I heard that they were training or practicing such a plan.

"Q And is it not a fact, Admiral, and again
I ask you not to answer me too literally but to answer my questions from your knowledge even though you were not personally present and from your knowledge as Naval Chief of Staff as to what was going on in connection with Naval activities in the light of the fact that you were Chief of Staff and as such had general knowledge of Naval activities, is it not a fact that the Japanese Navy started practicing to place into execution the YAMAMOTO plan to attack Pearl Harbor in the spring of 1941?

"A The plan came into being in the spring but it was not practiced until summer.

"Q And what do you mean by summer?

"A I am not sure but I believe it was about the beginning of July. The Combined Fleets went into Kagoshima and there they practiced coming in low over the mountains and dive bombing.

"Q And is it not a fact also, Admiral, that in addition to those maneuvers, that the fleet also practiced with a specially designed torpedo for use

in shallow water such as was known to be the situation in Pearl Harbor?

"A The torpedo was completed during those maneuvers. The Combined Fleets spent a lot of time a trying out this torpedo and experimenting with it."

.

For the same purpose we now offer in evidence International Prosecution document 2497 A which is an extract from the interrogation of the defendant NAGANO of March 27, '46, pages 1 - 3, and we ask that the parent document be given an identification number.

CLERK OR THE COURT: Prosecution's document No. 2497 will be given exhibit No. 1127 for identification only.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked prosecution's exhibit No. 1127 for identification.)

THE PRESIDENT: The extract is admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: The extract therefrom will be given exhibit No. 1127A.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked prosecution's exhibit No. 1127A and received in evidence.)

MR. FIHELLY: I now read from the first part of prosecution exhibit 1127A the first two or three questions and answers which refer to this particular summer matter:

"'Q Now, Admiral, I believe yesterday or the day before you mentioned, or you stated that the Japanese Navy started practicing on the Pearl Harbor

4

1

2

6

8

...

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23 24

plan some time in the summer of 1941. That was 1 correct, was it not? 2 3 in the summer as you say. 4 5 the summer of 1941, Admiral? 6 7 8 it was some time in the summer. 9 10 in July, 1941? 11 "'A Yes. 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24

25

"'A Yes, I believe the Fleet started training

"'Q Do you remember just about what month in

"'A I don't remember too clearly but I am sure

"'Q Would you say it was possibly some time

"'Q As I have the detrils here, the experiments and training were held at Sukamo, Saiki, Kagoshima and Konoye. Is that correct?

"'A Sukamo is a very suitable place for training and the Navy has consistently used it for such, but I believe the training of dive bombing and coming in low over the mountains which was utilized in the attack on Pearl Herbor was practiced at Kagoshima. ""

THE PRESIDENT: That interrogation.was on the 27th of March, this year. You may have said so; I did not notice it.

MR. FIHELLY: For the same purpose we now

MR. FIHELLY: For the same purpose we now offer in evidence International Prosecution document 2498-B which is an extract from the interrogation of the defendant SHIMADA of January 23, 1946, page 33; and we ask that the parent document be given an identification number.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document No. 2498 will be given exhibit No. 1128 for identification only.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked prosecution's exhibit No. 1128 for identification.)

THE PRESIDENT: The extract is admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document
No. 2498-B will receive exhibit 1128A.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked prosecution's exhibit No. 1128A and received in evidence.)

MR. FIHELLY: I now read from prosecution exhibit 1128A the first seven or eight questions and answers appearing thereon that pertain to this

3

1

6

7

8

,

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

particular matter. (Reading) 1 "10 YAMAMOTO proposed his Pearl Harbor attack 2 plan to the General Staff early in 1941, did he not? 3 "'A I heard that he offered it in January of 1941. 5 "'Q At that time he was Commander-in-Chief of the combined fleet, was he not? 7 "IA Yes. "'Q Admiral NAGANO authorized him to proceed 9 with a study of the plan in March 1941, did he not? 10 "'A In that point I don't know the date, but 11 I think it was later. 12 "'Q But it was early in 1941, wasn't it? 13 I think it was perhaps May or June. 14 "'Q May or June when his plan was adopted 15 16 for study? 17 "A At first the ordinary plan did not have any plans for the attack on Pearl Harbor, but it was made 18 19 formal in May or June. The studying and training 20 of a squadron by YAMAMOTO was begun in May or June 21 of 1941. I think ... 22 "Q You know that the Navy started developing 23 a shallow water torpedo early in 1941, don't you? 24 "'A Yes, I know very well. 25 "'Q That was because the Navy was well aware

```
that the water at Pearl Harbor was shallow water,
     wesn't it?
2
          "IA Yes.
3
          "'Q And the fleet practiced with the use of
4
     such a torpedo throughout the summer of 1941, did
6
     it not?
          "'A Yes, I think so ... "
7
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

And, in passing from this same general subject, prosecution exhibit 809, page 1, shows also from that official document of the United States

War Department that their investigation showed that the Japanese fleet did practice during the summer of '41 on the "YAMAMOTO Plan."

As also giving the views of the Japanese naval officers at this time, we offer in evidence International Prosecution document 1632W (64), an entry from the KIDO Diary of August 2, 1941.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document

No. 1632W (64) will receive exhibit No. 1129.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked prosecution's exhibit No. 1129 and received in evidence.)

MR. FIHELLY: I now read from prosecution exhibit 1129 the entry of 2 August, '41:

"I went to my office at 10 a.m. Prince
KONOYE visited me at 11 a.m. He said he was annoyed
to find that there was an observable tendency for
the tough elements in the Navy to gather strength,
a tendency which would be a great hindrance in the
way of the maintenance of harmony between the Supreme Command and the Government. If the U.S.A.

adopted decisive measures such as to cut us off from supplies of oil, we would run out of oil. Under these circumstances, we would be threatened by an acute national crisis, if we made any mistake in our diplomatic moves. Hence an understanding between the War and Navy Ministers concerning our fundamental national policy should be secured as soon as possible, and if a complete agreement is not reached, there would be nothing for the Government to do but to resign en bloc.

"The Army and the Navy would then assume charge of the administration of the country. I talked with the Chief Secretary on the same matter."

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Logan.

MR. LOGAN: If the Tribunal please, although we have the direction to refer these translation matters to the Translation Section, I would like to point out, in order that there be no mistake about it, that according to our translation the last half of our entry is a direct statement made by Marquis KIDO as to his opinion and not the opinion of KONOYE as set forth in the prosecution's document.

THE PRESIDENT: The matter is referred to Major Moore.

MR. FIHELLY: As giving the general views of the defendant KIDO at this time as to the war with the United States and Japan's advance southward, we now offer in evidence International Prosecution document No. 1632W (66), an entry from the KIDO Diary of August 7, 1941.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document

No. 1632W (66) will be given exhibit No. 1130.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked prosecution's exhibit No. 1130 and received in evidence.)

MR. FIHELLY: I now read from prosecution exhibit No. 1130 the entry of August 7, '41:

.... "Prince KONOYE proceeded to the Palace 1 at 3:30 p.m. He talked with me from 4 p.m. to 4.30after 2 his interview with the Emperor. I expressed my 3 opinions as follows, and asked him to give them full consideration. 5 "1. The situation we are facing now is 7 very serious. "2. We must immediately decide our 9 national policy by holding a meeting between the 10 Government and the Army without loss of time. 11 "3. According to reports received to 12 date, we are not strong enough to fight with the 13 U.S.A. and the Soviet at the same time. 14 "4. We are facing a very serious situation 15 which could be easily reduced to a simple problem 16 such as oil, by making a little difference in our 17 viewpoint. 18 "5. According to the Navy, the quantity 19 of oil in store was so moderate that it would barely 20 supply us throughout two years during peace, and 21 in case of war we would not have enough for more 22 than 12 years, but the Army estimates there is 1 23 year's supply for war. 24 "6. If the above mentioned were true, we

must reach the conclusion that our war with the U.S.A.

12)

would be a hopeless one.

"7. The Dutch East Indies and the northern part of Saghalien would be the chief source of oil supply after we were cut off from our American supplies.

"8. To occupy Singapore and the Philippine Islands was an essential prerequisite to a successful landing in the Dutch East Indies. Since oil wells would be destroyed during these actions, it would not be possible to obtain oil in necessary quantities for 1½ years.

"9. If we attack the Dutch East Indies, the U.S.A. would declare war against Japan. The long-distance transportation of oil under a constant menace of submarines and airplanes would be very dangerous and the result would fall short of our expectations.

"10. If there were miscalculation about oil supplies, we would face a very serious situation which might lead to our defeat.

"11. We could not do what we wanted on account of the lack of our national power. Although the situation was different in its external appearance, we might be compelled to exercise the same self-restraint as we did after our victory in the Sino-Japanese War in 1895."

"12. We should be resolved to toil through ten years of hard struggles. "13. Meanwhile we should do everything to restore friendly relations between the U.S.A. and Japan. And we must try to secure the materials which we need. "14. Our ultimete objective is Japan's advance to the Southern Regions, and in order to attein this object, a ten-year plan has been mapped out as follows: "a. Establishment of heavy industries and machine-tool industries. "b. Establishment of a synthetic oil industry. "c. Expension of ocean lines and shipping." 

The reaction of the United States to Japanese movements in the south is again shown by International Prosecution document No. 1457 which is a wire of August 16 from NOMURA which we now offer in evidence.

I would like to make this additional statement for the assistance of the Tribunal and counsel:
As processed, this document is combined with the wire
of September 30, 1941 from TOYODA to NOMURA which we
will not read at this time but later, when we reach
that date in presentation of our evidence. To save
time, we will offer both wires and will only read
the earlier wire of August 16 from IPS document
1457 at this time.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document

No. 1457 will receive exhibit No. 1131.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked prosecution's exhibit
No. 1131 and received in evidence.)

MR. FIHELLY: I will now read from prosecution's exhibit 1131 just the descriptive remarks from the top of the document and the first few lines referring to Thailand:

"Telegram from Ambassador NOMURA to Foreign

Minister TOYODA, dated 16 Aug. 1941 (No. 703 Secretariat Code, Secret.)

"Concerning your telegram No. 480.

"I. As I often reported, the relations
between Japan and U.S. were brought to a very critical moment and it hangs now on a hair. The next movement on the part of Japan may lead to a sudden change.
It is generally feared by both Japanese and Americans
that it will do so in case Japan advances into Thailand."

We next offer in evidence IPS document No. 2534 D which is a certified press 1tem with respect to the day, August 23, 1941, as showing that the Cabinet on August 22 approved a national Commodity Mobilization Plan. THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms. CLFRK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document No. 2534 D will receive exhibit No. 1132. (Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked prosecution's exhibit No. 1132 and received in evidence.) THE PRESIDENT: Do you intend to read that, Mr. Fihelly? MR. FIHELLY: Yes, the first few paragraphs of it, your Honor. I will now read from prosecution exhibit 1132 the headline, descriptive remarks, and the first two paragraphs: "Speedy Expansion of Armaments And Autarchy Of East Asia Sought. "COMMODITY MOBILIZATION PLAN STUDIED. "Program for 2nd Quarter Of Fiscal Year Decided As Proposed by Governor of Planning Board; Key Points Of Scheme Announced.

"The Government, at the Cabinet meeting on

1

2

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

August 22, formally decided the national commodity mobilization plan for and after the 2nd quarter of the 1941-2 fiscal year, as proposed by Lieut-General Teiichi SUZUKI, governor of the Planning Board. "The key points of the scheme are: (1) Prompt expansion of armaments; (2) Establishment of a system of autarchy for important resources in the East Asia Co-prosperity Sphere, especially the maintenance of steel and coal production; (3) Maintenance of the lowest limit for the nation's living 10 necessities; and (4) Strict harmony between the 11 commodity mobilization scheme and the marine trans-12 13 portation plan." THE PRESIDENT: We will adjourn until half 14 15 past one. 16 · (Whereupon, at 1200, a recess was 17 taken.) 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

## AFTERNOON SESSION

The Tribunal met, pursuant to recess, at 1340, the HONORABLE JU-AO-MEI, Member from the Republic of China, not sitting.

MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The International Military Tribural for the Far East is now resumed.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Smith.

MR. SMITH: If your Honor please, I beg please to bring up a matter that I think is of urgent importance. Last Sunday afternoon Colonel Warren, defense counsel, returned from the United States and brought with him a new statement by former American Ambassador Grew. The statement was dictated by the Ambassador and was intended for the information of this Court. It is only a page and a half in length and it materially qualifies and at least clarifies the affidavit of Mr. Grew which was read in evidence on Friday. Further, it seems to eliminate three defendants from this case, as we read it and, your Honor, we think in fairness to the former Ambassador, and certainly for the enlightenment of this Court and that the Court not get a wrong impression from the affidavit read last Friday, that we have leave to

Abram & Mors

10

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

12 13

14

15

16 17

18 19

20

21

22 23

24

read this at this time. Your Honor, the necessary copies have been supplied for the Court and also for the translation, so it could be done simultaneously.

THE PRESIDENT: It seems to me it is defense evidence to be given later, unless the prosecution are prepared to adopt it as theirs and tender

it. If they are satisfied with its authenticity -and 1 don't suppose they question Colonel Warren's
word -- well, they ought to tender it.

Mr. Higgins.

MR. HIGGINS: Mr. President, if it has the effect that counsel says it has, that is, to eliminate three of the defendants from this case, certainly the prosecution is not interested in presenting this evidence for the prosecution.

THE PRESIDENT: If you don't want to tender it, certainly we won't force you to do so.

MR. HIGGINS: No, sir.

THE PRESIDENT: It is in the same position as evidence taken on interrogatory. It would not follow that when we received that we would accept it immediately. It would have to be tendered as part of the defense evidence.

MR. SMITH: I do not ask anything more, your Honor.

THE PRESIDENT: You will have to wait, Mr. Smith, unless the prosecution are prepared to oblige you by accepting it and tendering it.

Mr. Fihelly.

MR. FIHELLY: Mr. President and Members of the Tribunal, just prior to lunch we had arrived

chronologically at the end of August, 1941. At this point Premier KONOYE --

THE PRESIDENT: I should remind the defense of what I said on Friday, that if they desire to apply for a commission or for interrogatories to be administered to Mr. Hull, or any of those gentlemen in the United States or elsewhere than Japan, they should make application to me in Chambers.

MR. SMITH: Your Honor, could I say one more word in response to your last observation? If I could read Mr. Grew's last statement there wouldn't be any necessity for me to take his interrogatory in the United States. That is one of the points.

9

10

12

14

15

16

21

22

THE PRESILENT: Well, we have given our answer. It depends on the attitude of the prosecution.

MR. FIHELLY: At this point Premier KONOYE 17 presented on August 28 a memorandum to President 18 Roosevelt with regard to the proposed meeting between them. This was followed by the President's reply on September 3rd. Both of these items will be dealt with later in the evidence of Mr. Ballantine

We have already seen shown that during July of 1941 the Japanese fleet practiced and rehearsed the YAMAMOTO Plan of the Pearl Harbor attack. Our evidence now will show that the first week in September Japan further practiced that particular plan of attack by war games which were held here in Tokyo at the Naval War College.

In this connection, I refer to prosecution exhibit 809 and read from page 1 what is stated there in connection with those games. On page 1 it is stated in that exhibit from 2 to 13 September 1941 the final war games convened at the Naval War College in Tokyo, participated in by a large number of top ranking naval officers. The problems set were two-fold; first, to the working out of the details of the naval air strike at Pearl Herbor; secondly, the establishment of a schedule of operations for the occupation of Malaya, Burma, the Netherlands East Indies, the Philippine Islands, and Solomon and Central Pacific Islands, including Hawaii. The outline of conditions under which these problems were to be worked out constituted the essence of the forthcoming order specifying the actual attack.

There was a further part of prosecution exhibit 1127A, the interrogation of the defendant NAGANO, of March 27, 1946, which we would like to read from at this time, in that same connection.

I will read from page 1 of the exhibit, the last two questions and answers on the page:

2

3

4

6

7 8

9

10

11

13

14 15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23

24

"Q And later, in August of 1941, is it not a fact, Admiral, that the final plans were made at the Naval War College in Tokyo?

"A I believe preparations by the Naval War College were started in October, but a considerable amount of research was done. The results were later given out to us and that was how we first heard of the attack officially, and at that time the commander of the fleets put forth the plan which was later adopted.

"Q When you say, Admiral, that you later were first officially advised of the results, do you mean by that that you were advised of the results of the attack on Pearl Harbor?

"A After the results of the research of the Naval War College had been put forth the commander of the fleets explained the Pearl Harbor plan.

"Q As a matter of fact, Admiral, the time in which the plans were perfected at the Naval War College was in late August or Early September, 1941, rather than in October, was it not?

"A Do you mean the plan for the attack on Hawaii or the general research that was carried on by the Naval College?

"Q I refer to the time, Admiral, when the plans

were perfected at the Naval War College in Tokyo at which time various teams were made up, such as the "N" Team, the "A" team and the "E" team.

I don't remember very well, but I believe it may well have been toward the end of September."

Last question and answer:

"O ' In these games at which the plans were perfected for the attack upon Pearl Harbor, Admiral, you acted as umpire, did you not?

No, the head umpire was Admiral YAMAMOTO. I had no connection with the war games, but I went to see the results when they were over."

MR. FIHELLE: We next offer in evidence International Prosecution's document No. 2535A which 2 is a report of the Cabinet meeting on September 5, as 3 the result of which land and sea transportation was 4 put on a war basis. THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms. CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document 7 No. 2535A will receive exhibit No. 1133. 8 (Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked prosecution's exhibit No. 1133 and 10 received in evidence.) 11 MR. FIHELLE: I now read prosecution's exhibit 12 13 No. 1133: "Excerpt from 'The Osaka Mainich and the 14 Tokyo Nichi Nichi', Sunday, September 7, 1941. 15 "Government to Expedite Building of Ships 16 17 and Freight Cars. "Traffic Mobilization. 18 "Concrete Plans being Drafted by Communications 19 20 and Railway Offices. "Based on the traffic mobilization plan for 21 the 1941-42 fiscal year, the Railway Office will 22 positively embark on the construction of freight cars, 23 24 while the Communications Office will establish the 25 shipbuilding control association in order to systematize

the ship building industry, it is expected.

"The Government, at the Cabinet meeting on Friday, September 5, decided the traffic mobilization plan in order to place land and sea transportation on a wartime basis to meet the requirements of the times."

We now offer in evidence an extract from the KIDO Diary dated September 5, 1941, IPS Document No. 1632W 67, as indicating that preparations were being made to hold another Imperial Conference.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosectuion's document

No. 1632W (67) will receive exhibit No. 1134.

("hereupon, the document above referred to was marked prosecution's exhibit No. 1134 and received in evidence.)

MR. FIHELLE: I now read prosecution exhibit No. 1134:

"September 5, 1941 - (Extract)

"At 4.30 p.m. the Premier proceeded to the Polace and submitted to the Throne a plan relative to the holding of a Council in the Imperial presence.

The Premier said that as the Emperor asked many questions as to our policy towards U.S.A. from the point of view of war strategy, he had advised the Emperor to summon the Chief of General Staff and the Chief of

.

the Naval General Staff. I, therefore, proceeded to the Palace to advise the Emperor to follow the Premier's 2 advice. I requested Aide-de-camp YOKOYAMA to call the Chief of the General Staff, the Chief of the Naval General Staff, and the Premier to the Palace. At 5 6 p.m. they were granted an audience by the Emperor 6 to answer the Imperial questions." 7 The second of the four important Imperial 8 Conferences of 1941 was held on September 6. In this connection, we offer in evidence an extract from the 10 KIDO Diary of that date, International Prosecution 11 document No. 1632W (68). 12 THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms. 13 CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document 14 No. 1632" (68) will receive exhibit No. 1135. 15 16 ("hereupon, the document above referred to was marked prosecution's exhibit No. 1135 and 17 received in evidence.) 18 MR. FIHELLE: I now read prosecution's 19 20 exhibit No. 1135: 21 "September 6th, 1941 (Extract) 22 "From 9.40 to 9.55 a.m. I visted the Emperor 23 in response to the Imperial summons. He said that

he would like to ask some questions at the Conference

in his presence. Then I advised His Majesty that

0

24

TOYODA, Foreign Minister; TOJO, War Minister; OTKAWA, 1 Navy Minister; OGURA, Finance Minister; SUZUKI, President of Planning Board; TANABE, Home Minister; SUCIYAMA, 3 Chief of Army General Staff; NAGANO, Chief of Naval General Staff; TOMITA, Chief Secretary of the Cabinet; 5 MUTO, Director of Military Affairs Bureau, War Ministry; 6 OKA, Director of Military Affairs Bureau, Navy Minstry; 7 TSUKADA, Vice Chief of Army General Staff; ITO, Vice-Chief of Naval General Staff; HARA, President of the 9 Privy Council." 10 I now refer to Court exhibit, prosecution 11 12 exhibit No. 588, a document found at the Foreign 13 Ministry, and call attention to certain parts thereof, 14 the document itself having been put in evidence and 15 other parts read by Mr. English. The part with respect 16 to September 6 is found on page 2 of the document, the 17 first part being devoted to the July 2 Imperial Con-18 ference. I now read the pertinent part: 19 "Resolution adopted through the Imperial 20 Conference on September 6, 1941. 21 "Summary. Execution of the Empire's Policy. 22 "In view of the present acute situation, es-23 pecially the aggressive movements the United States, 24 Britain and the Netherlands have assumed; the situation 25 of Soviet Russia; and the suppression of our national

power; Japan will execute her Southern advance policy, related in the 'principle of Japan's Policy According to the Change of Situations,' as follows:

"a. In order to secure self-existence and

"a. In order to secure self-existence and self-defense, Japan, with a determination for a war with the United States (Britain and the Netherlands), will have completed her preparations by the end of October.

"b. Meanwhile, in pace with the above, Japan will strive for the fulfillment of her demands through diplomatic measures with the United States and Britain.

"The minimum matters of demand to be accomplished in Japan's negotiations with the United States (Britain), and the limit Japan is able to come to an agreement in this connection will be stated on another sheet.

"c. If, through the above negotiations, our demands have no hope of fulfillment by the beginning of October, we shall immediately determine to wage war against the United States (Britain and the Netherlands).

"All measures, save for those concerned with the South, will be executed according to the pre-arranged national policy, and we shall particularly endeavor to check the formation of a Rubso-American combined force against Japan."

coldborg & Spratt

I now effer in evidence International
Prosecution document No. 2507-A, which contains
extracts from the TOJO interrogation of February.
23, 1946, pages 1, 2, 3, 5, and 6, and also International Prosecution document No. 2509-A, which is an extract from the TOJO interrogation of March 11,
page 4. In each instance we offer the extracts in evidence, and, as we did this morning, ask that the parent document be given a number for identification.

No. 2507 will be given exhibit No. 1136, for identification only.

(Thereupon, the document abovementioned was marked prosecution's exhibit No. 1136 for identification.)

THE PRESIDENT: The extracts are admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document No. 2507-A will be given exhibit No. 1136-A.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked presecution's exhibit No. 1136-A and received in evidence.)

No. 2509 will be given exhibit No. 1137 for identificotion only.

(Thereupon, the document above-1 mentioned was marked prosecution's exhibit 2 No. 1137 for identification only.) 3 CLERK OF THE COURT: The excerpt therefrom, 4 nikewise admitted, will be given exhibit No. 1137-A. 5 (Thereupon, the document above 6 referred to was marked prosecution's exhibit 7 No. 1137-A and received in evidence.) 8 MR. FIHELLY: I now read from page 2, the extracts from 5 and 6 of the interrogation from 10 11 exhibit 1136-A. "Q For what purpose was the Imperial Conference 12 13 of 6 September called? "A It was called to decide the question of what 14 national policy should be adopted in view of the 15 16 situation at that time. As I recall, the main ques-17 tion was that of war or peace and what attitude should 18 be decided upon in either case. 19 "Q At this conference, it was decided to con-20 tinue negotiations with America and hope for a break 21 by the middle ten days of October, was it not? 22 "A Yes, of course I think so, but I have no 23 materials here and it is difficult for me to make

25

24

categorical statements.

"Q It was also decided that if the break did not

come by the middle ten days of October that war would be undertaken against America?

"A It was decided to plan for a break by the middle ten days of October. The decision for war was not made at this conference.

"Q "ho attended -- "

That is all there is on that extract. I am sorry.

Getting on to 1137-A, I read the question and answer on that page, page 1 from page 4 of the March 11 TOJO interrogation:

"Q Is it not true that, during the period between 6 September 1941 and 10-20 October 1941, preparations for war were pushed?

"A As I said before, at that conference which dealt with peace or war, it was decided that both peace preparations and war preparations would be pushed. (Read back to the witness who agreed as to its correctness.) It was only after that decision that preparations were pushed."

MR. FIHELLY: On September 6th also the Japanese Ambassador handed to the Secretary of State a revised proposal. This particular proposal will be treated of in detail during the testimony of Mr. Ballantine later on in this period.

We next offer in evidence a further extract from the KIDO Diary dated September 11th, I. P. S. Document No. 1632W(69), in order to show that on that date the defendant TOJO and KIDO discussed the preparations for war with the United States.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document

No. 1632W(69) will receive exhibit No. 1138.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked prosecution's exhibit No. 1138 and received in evidence.)

MR. FIHELLY: I now read a brief extract from prosecution's exhibit 1138: the extract of September 11, 1941.

"War Minister TOJO visited me after his withdrawal from the presence of the Emperor and explained the result of an investigation concerning the preparations for war with the U.S.A."

MR. LOGAN: I would like to call the

Tribunal's attention to the fact that the extract just read does not conform to the statement made by the prosecutor before he read it. The discussion was not concerning preparations for war, but was concerning the result of an investigation.

•6

IR. FIHELLY: Concerning the preparation of war.

I can assure you, Mr. President and the Members of the Tribunal, that I will be glad to be corrected at any time by the defense because I have no desire to make any unfair inference in connection with any document.

THE PRESIDENT: There seems to be no substantial difference between what you said and what the document revealed.

MR. FIHELLY: I did not think there was, your Honor.

We next offer in evidence International Prosecution Section Document No. 1457-B, a telegram of September 12th from NOLURA to TOYADA to show that NOLURA at this time made statements to Japan in connection with withdrawing her troops in China.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document

No. 1457-B will be given exhibit No. 1139.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked prosecution's exhibit No. 1139 and received in evidence.)

MR. FIHELLY: I shall read just the identifying top remarks and the first two paragraphs of exhibit 1139:

"TRANSLATION OF TELEGRAM FROM NOMURA TO TOYADA, dated September 12, 1941.

"Top Secret by Embassy Code.

"From Ambassador NOMURA, Afternoon, September 11, Showa 16 (1941), Washington (by secret).

"To Foreign Minister TOYADA, Arrived at the Ministry, Afternoon, September 12, Shown 16 (1941). "Telegram No. 810.

Japanese-American negotiations for the adjustment of diplomatic relations are, as you are well aware of by my repeated telegrams, the problems regarding the withdrawal of Japanese troops from China and stationing of troops for anti-Comintern purposes, and I think the U.S.A. is in the position where she cannot recognize the stipulated terms between our side and the Nanking Government upon this matter, not only in the light of the public opinion in the country, but also from the viewpoint of her obligation as a mediator toward China."

3

1

2

6

5

7 8

9

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

there is included a clause to the effect that the evacuation should be effected as soon as possible in compliance with the stipulations to be newly agreed upon between Japan and China. And her attitude having been more stiffened of late, she has mentioned the complete evacuation of troops within two years after the restoration of peace (one of the causes of the above stiffening is believed to be the result of her talks with the Chinese side), and it is felt that toward the new proposal advanced from our government, the U.S.A. apparently shows no serious disapproval in points other than that of the evacuation, against which she seems to have strong opposition.

"And, after all, according to my observation, there is a great possibility for the negotiation to split on the rocks in the final stages due to this evacuation problem. To avoid it, therefore, I earnestly wish that following the general line of the American request and without making reference to the stationing of troops, you deliberate how to deal with the proposal for the evacuation of troops within two years after peace restoration."

We next offer in evidence TOYODA's reply
of September 13, which is contained in International
Prosecution document No. 1457. This is the same wire,
by the way, which was introduced this morning. Do
you have the exhibit number (addressing the Clerk of
the Court)? We mentioned this morning, Mr. President
and Members of the Tribunal, in connection with
exhibit No. 1131, that there was another wire processed
with it that we would read when we came later in
September to that. This is the wire I referred to,
and I believe it is already in evidence with the other,
is it not, Mr. Clerk?

THE PRESIDENT: It is.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Yes.

MR. FIHELLY: I will read that particular wire, or paragraph 3 from that wire, on page 3, paragraph 3:

"III. I understand that the U. S. wants us to acknowledge her so-called four fundamental principles. But without even settling the matters in the telegram quoted at the beginning (tel. 529) of the present one we are not in a position to swallow it at present. Besides (if we do so) it is very much feared that the world may think we did it owning to American pressure as we pointed out before, and judging from

American views that she wents to negotiate beforehand with England, Holland, China and other countries, I entertain some apprehensions lest they may try to revive the organization of the 'Nine Countries Treaty.' So it is also not proper to do so at present. The form of negotiation between Japan and the U.S. should be adopted. As this is of special importance, beware of it please! (We are not in a position to hinder U. S. from her actual negotiation with Britain, Holland and others, and in reality it is impossible to do it, and again we will not concern ourselves with it. At the bottom, what we want to say is that we refuse to be induced to a council or an agreement among numerous countries.) "

MR. FIHELLY: We next offer in evidence 1 International Prosecution Document No. 2536-A, the 2 report of the Cabinet meeting of September 12th 3 adopting a plan for labor mobilization so as to induce a supply of sufficient labor for the increased 5 production of munitions. 6 THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms. 7 CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document 8 9 No. 2536-A will receive exhibit No. 1140. (Whereupon, the document above referred 10 to was marked prosecution's exhibit No. 1140 11 12 and received in evidence.) 13 MR. FIHELLY: I now read from prosecution 14 exhibit No. 1140: 15 "Extract from 'The Tokyo Nichi Nichi' -16 September 13, 1941. 17 " ' TOTAL LABOR SERVICE BY PEOPLE" SYSTEM 18 DEFINITELY LAUNCHED. 19 " 'Cabinet Approves. 20 " 1941 Workers Mobilization Plan is Adopted 21 at Friday Meeting. " 'As a preparatory step for the establish-22 23 ment of the people's total labor service system, the 24 plan for the people's labor mobilization during 1941 25 was adopted in the regular Cabinet meeting on

September 12.

"The scheme, jointly formulated by the Planning Board and the Welfare Office, is based on the emergency labor policy decided in a recent Cabinat session, and is aimed at insuring the supply of sufficient labor for the increased production of munitions.

"In view of the importance of the project, the Cabinet Ministers present posed pointed questions to Governor Lieut. General Teiichi SUZUKI of the Planning Board, who explained the plan to them.

" 'The scheme contains concrete measures for the creation of the people's total labor service system . . . . "

On September 22 Foreign Minister TOYODA

presented to the United States Ambassador in Japan

the text of the basic terms of peace which Japan

was prepared to offer to China. This matter will be

dealt with in detail in Mr. Ballantine's testimony

later in the period.

On September 25 he also submitted revised proposals to the United States incorporating these terms of peace with China, which likewise will be treated by Mr. Ballantine in his testimony.

We next offer in evidence International

1.

Prosecution document 1632W(7L), an extract from the KIDO Diary of September 26, this being offered to show that on that date the defendant KIDO and Premier KONOYE discussed at some length the possibility of war being declared upon the United States on October 15.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms. Why the delay?

CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document No. 1632W(71) will receive exhibit No. 1141.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked prosecution's exhibit No. 1141 and received in evidence.)

MR. FIHELLY: I now read this brief extract contained in exhibit No. 1141:

"September 26th, 1941 - (Extract)

"I met Prince KONDYE at 4 p. m. and talked with him until 5:15 p. m. He stated that he had no confidence, and there was no choice for him but to consider his resignation if the Military insisted on starting a war on 15 October. I hoped that he would be prudent."

1 2

14.

MR. FIHELLY: As again showing war preparations which Japan was making, at this time we next offer in evidence an extract from the KIDO Diary of September 29th, International Prosecution Document No. 1632W(72).

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document

No. 1632W(72) will be given exhibit No. 1142.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked prosecution's exhibit No. 1142 and received in evidence.)

MR. FIHELLY: I now read prosecution's exhibit No. 1142, the extract dated September 29, 1941:

"I visited the Emperor from 10.55 a.m. to

11.35 a.m. He requested me to make an investigation
regarding the stock of rubber and tin in the U.S.A.,
the amount of rubber resources in South and Central
America, and other places where the United States
would be able to obtain those resources. Hence I
contacted the President of the Flanning Board through
the Chief Secretary to the Cabinet. The President HARA
said that if our diplomatic parley with Washington
would end in an entire failure and as we would have
to make our grave resolution, the coming Council in

. . .

the Imperial presence should not be such a formal one as usual, but we must have a full discussion of the matter instead and include senior statesmen in the Council. I promised him that I would consider this carefully."

On October 2nd, the United States Secretary of State handed to the Japanese Ambassador an oral statement in connection with the pending negotiations which will be explained in the evidence of Mr. Ballantine.

We next offer in evidence an extract from the KIDO Diary dated October 7th, International Prosecution Document No. 1632W(75) to show that as of that date the defendant TOJO was urging war with the United States and that certain elements in the Navy joined or agreed with him.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OI | COURT: Prosecution's document

No. 1632W(75) will receive exhibit No. 1143.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked prosecution's exhibit No. 1143 and received in evidence.)

MR. FIHELLY: I now read prosecution's exhibit No. 1143: -- the extract from the KIDO Diary, October 7th, 1941:

"TOMITA, the Chief Secretary to the Cabinet, visited me at 12.40 a.m. to talk about our negotiations with the U.S.A. He stated as follows: 'The Army was of the opinion that there was no room left for the continuance of the parley, while the Navy held the reverse view. But the officers of medium standing of the Army and Navy were agreed in their strong cetermination. The Navy's desire for the Premier was that he should immediately declare his resolution and assume leadership in order to meet the serious situation. First of all, the Premier should talk with the War Minister who has a resolute opinion, in order to promote a better understanding between them, after that a meeting between the Premier, the War Minister and the Navy Minister would be desirable to settle our national policy in respect of the war against the U.S.A."

We next offer in evidence International Prosecution Document No. 2593-D-4 and International Prosecution Document No. 15-I. These two prosecution documents constitute four parts of a whole telegram, parts one and three are in the first document, 2593-D-4, and parts two and four are in the second part; but, as I say, that makes one complete integrated telegram.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

2

3

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

CLER OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document 1 No. 2593-D-4 will be given exhibit No. 1144; and 2 document 15-I--3 THE PRESIDENT: Make it two exhibits. CLERK OF THE COURT (Continuing) -- will be given exhibit No. 1145. 6 (Whereupon, the documents above referred 7 to were marked prosecution's exhibits Nos 1144 8 and 1145 respectively and received in evidence.) MR. FIHELLY: I now read from part 2 of the 10 October 8th telegram, which would be the next to the 11 12 last page of exhibit No. 1145. THE PRESENT: Aren't you going to read any 13 14 part of 1144? MR. FIHELLY: I will be glad to read it all 15 16 if the Court wants me to read it, sir. I will be 17 glad to start and read it all. 18 THE MONITOR: Mr. Fihelly, will you put the earphone on, please? Will somebody tell Mr. Fihelly 20 to please put his earphone on? 21 Which document are you reading, please, 22 1144? 23 MR. FIHELLY: I am now going to read the 24 entire document as the Court has requested. 25 THE MONITOR: Which document, sir?

MR. FIHELLY: The entire document. The first one is 1144 and the next one, I believe you gave the number 1145. I will now read the entire document.

THE MONITOR: Thank you, sir. Also, on the leading statement, will you please follow your leading statement?

THE PRESIDENT: I inquired whether you were

THE PRESIDENT: I inquired whether you were going to read 1144. I did not request you to do so; but, perhaps you had better do so.

MR. FIHELLY: I will be glad to do so, your Honor, because of the importance of the integrated part.

THE PRESIDENT: This is a vital stage.

MR. FIHELLY: I now read exhibit No. 1144,

part 1 of four parts, from Washington to Tokyo,

October 8, 1941, marked "SECRET". The word "Purple" is there. No. 907.

(Reading): "(To be handled in government code.

Departmental Secret) Re your #637 b.

"I will tell you more about this after I interview the Secretary of State a little later on. However, my views at present are as follows:

"(1) According to the American memorandum of the 1st, the Americans realize that there is unanimity

on the various principles and fundamentals which they have stuck to. However, they are dissatisfied because you did not express a desire to go into a detailed discussion. The Americans believe in the four principles as the basis on which relations between the two countries must be adjusted. The Americans consider that it is necessary to achieve unanimity on the various questions which have been fundamentally explored by both nations in the unofficial talks held so far in order to settle the Pacific question. They have always felt that if conversations between the leaders of the two countries are to be realized immediately, it must be definitely understood that these principles are to be applied to the concrete problems in the Pacific, and they desire a further clarification of our views."

The next, part 3 of 4, has the same heading, October 8th, the same general markings.

"Judging by the impression I got from the above mentioned facts and my contacts with them so far, they figure on first bringing about unanimity on the fundamental questions on which our two countries . have so far failed to see eye to eye, and then gradually to turn to the other matters. They evidently feel that so long as there is disagreement on the

\*

19

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

21

22

24

aforementioned points it would be vain and futile
to discuss the various other problems, therefore, up
to now the United States has done no more than
express her opinions on the other matters in the
proposal of June 11. (As I have wired you, some
changes were made in the last clause.)

"In their proposal of June 21, they made it evident that they were going to stick to this as the basis for negotiations. The latest reply of theirs shows, I am sure, that they are entirely disregarding our own proposal of the 25th. This shows that they are going to stick to their ideas as they stand: however, it will still be necessary for us to talk through certain matters concerning The matter mentioned in the annex of the clause concerning the China incident. B. Discontinuing activities designed to help CHIANG. C. The stipulation concerning Nanking Treaty in the clause concerning the China incident (an agreement between Japan and China); joint mediation and the right of self-protection mentioned in the clause concerning our attitude toward the European war; the question of eliminating the annex to the clause concerning commerce between the two nations."

And now going to the other two parts of

3

1

2

4

6

/

8

10

11

13

14

16

17

13

19

20

21

22

23

24

the telegram, I read those parts from exhibit No. 1145:

"From: Washington (Nomura)

"TO: Tokyo

"October 8, 1941

#907 (Part 2 of 4)

"To be handled in government code. Departmental Secret).

"Thus, they hope that we will make ourselves clearer on these points. In our proposal of the 6th and in the explanation thereof, not only did we limit them and narrow what we had discussed in our informal conversations thus far, but we also curtailed extremely the guarantees we offered concerning the aforementioned principles. We equivocated concerning guarantees that we would not engage in armed aggression. We limited the area to which the principle of non-discriminatory treatment would apply in the Pacific, and on the excuse that China was geographically near to us, we limited the very principle itself. On the question of stationing and evacuating troops in and from China (including French Indo-China), the Americans are making some demands which we in principle have objections to. Moreover, they figure that they must be much surer of our

2

3

5

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
attitude toward the three-power pact. These points
  1
       you probably already know. "
  2
  3
  5
  6
  7
 9
 10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

MR. FIHELLY: And the last part of the

telegram bearing the same date, part 4 of 4.

"Concerning the four principles mentioned in (2) during the conversation mentioned in your #6349, the American Ambassador talked like I had already reached an understanding with Secretary HULL on this. As I have told you in various messages, they shelved the question in both letter and spirit, but on April 16 when Secretary HULL presented me with these four points (see my #277b), I certainly was in no position to accept such a proposal before getting instructions from my home government, nor would I give the impression that we would accept them. Please note that. Nevertheless, these are very abstract principles, and I figured that there would be some elasticity in their application. This country applies them with prudence to other foreign nations; for example, in peace conferences, discussions of principles are extremely circumlocutionary, so I do not think you need to be troubled over this."

21

16

17

13

19

20

22

23

24

There is an entry in the KIDO Diary, 1 October 9, International Prosecution's document 2 1032W(64), which we now offer in evidence to show 3 KIDO's views as to war with the United States as of 4 that date -- 1032W(76). 5 THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms. 6 CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's docu-7 ment No. 1632W(76) will receive exhibit No. 1146. 8 (Whereupon, the document above 9 referred to was marked prosecution's exhibit 10 No. 1146 and received in evidence.) 11 12 MR. FIHELLY: I now read prosecution's 13 exhibit No. 1146: 14 "Extract from Entry from Marquis KIDO's 15 Diary, 9 Oct. 41. 16 "I met Prince KONOYE at 10:30 a. m. after 0 17 his audience with the Emperor. He was quite uneasy 13 about the future of the parley with Washington, and his hopes for a satisfactory compromise were very 19 discouraging. I expressed my opinion for his infor-20 21 mation as follows: "1. The resolution of the Council in the 22 Imperial presence on the 6th of September seemed to 23 me too outright. It was not the conclusion of ex-24 25 haustive discussion in my opinion."

1	"2. Judging from the situation both at
2	home and abroad, the war with the U.S. A. would
3	offer us little chance of victory, so we had better
4	reconsider it.
5	"3. It would be inadvisable to declare
6	war against the U. S. A. immediately.
7	"4. The Premier should clarify his inten-
8	tion to concentrate our national efforts upon the
9	completion of the Chinese Incident.
10	"5. We should acquire freedom without pay-
11	ing any attention to economic pressure by the U.S. A
12	"6. The Premier should demand ten or fif-
13	teen years of hard struggle on the part of our nation
14	to establish a highly defensive nation.
15	"7. If necessary, we were ready to put
16	belligerency in action to promote the completion of
17	the Chinese Incident and to use our whole military
16	force in China in order to realize our plans against
19	Kuuming and Chungking.
20	"Hachiro ARITA visited me to talk about the
21	American problems and the general resignation of the
22	@abinet."
23	THE PRESIDENT: We will recess for fifteen
24	minutes.
25	(Whereupon, at 1445, a recess

N.

I	
1	was taken until 1500, after which the
2	proceedings were resumed as follows:)
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Greenberg & Barton

MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The International Military Tribunal for the Far East is now resumed.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Fihelly.

MR. FIHELLY: Mr. President and Members of the Tribunal, on October 12, 1941 there was a meeting at Premier KONOYE's house concerning which we have certain written documents.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Logan.

MR. LOGAN: If the Tribunal please, with regard to the last exhibit in evidence, 1146, I think it is rather important, and I would pray the Tribunal's indulgence to read the defense's translation of that document at this time.

THE PRESIDENT: That would be two translations of every document. That is the kind of language Japanese is.

MR. LOGAN: But the point is this, your Honor: that if, later, all our corrections or some of them are accepted and it appears many pages later in the record, the complete document will not be there. It will mean reference back to the document which the prosecution has read, and it will have to be corrected on that document in the record.

THE PRESIDENT: But we could never let you say, "Well, that is the prosecution's translation.

Now listen to ours." That would double the length of the trial. And, if we admit it for one document, why not for all? We have already given you authority -- the Tribunal has -- to go to the Language Section, Mr. Logan. We cannot do any more than that. We are very much concerned about the loss of time involved in the translations. There is far more delay here than there was in Germany on that account.

MR. LOGAN: May we have a direction to this effect then, if the Tribunal please: that when it comes back from the Language Section, that the entire document be permitted to be read rather than a reference to a page and a line? For example, here is a document with many changes necessary in it, and it would not make any sense if just small portions of the original document as read were changed; whereas, if we were permitted to read the entire document as changed, I think that would serve the purpose.

THE PRESIDENT: I am reminded by a colleague that I should repeat what I told you last week: to arrange these things with the prosecution out of court. If you differ, go to the Translation Section; if a correction is made, let the party presenting the document read the document as corrected.

13

14

15

16

17

13

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Mr. Fihelly.

MR. FIHELLY: I just mentioned the fact that we had certain written documents in connection with this meeting in Premier KONOYE'S home on October 12.

We first offer the KIDO Diary entry of October 12, International Prosecution document 1632W (77) to show what happened there.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms. CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document No. 1632W (77) will receive exhibit No. 1147.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked prosecution's exhibit No. 1147 and received in evidence.)

MR. FIHELLY: I now read prosecution exhibit No. 1147, the extract from KIDO's Diary, October 12, 141:

"At 10 a.m. Kango KOYAMA called on me to express deep resentment at the recent political situation, urging all possible efforts of the Premier. Major-General KATO visited my house to explain the Gendarmerie's interpretation of present conditions. TOMITA, Chief Secretary to the Cabinet, visited me and stated as follows, 'The War, Nevy and Foreign Ministers and President of the Planning Board held a meeting in the Prince's house

at Ogikubo at 2 p.m. to discuss the edjustment of diplometic relations between the U.S.A. and Japan. The War Minister calls for great resolution since he sees no hope for arrival at an understanding between Japan and the U.S.A.; however, that if he could herr an explanation such that he could persuade himself to be sure of arrival at an understanding why, of couse, he didn't like wer. The Nevy Minister said that we should try to avoid wer as much as possible. Now this country was standing at the crossroads, having two ways to choose; one is the restoration of friendly relations by diplomatic negotiations; the other the declaration of war on the U.S.A. If the former was our choice, we must bring about a full understanding between the two countries by convincing the U.S.A. of the sincerity of our friendship towards her, for a war after a patched-up compromise would be most undesirable. Anyhow, the Premier's strong leadership was the most urgent The Premier expressed his firm conviction of the successful conclusion of the perley, asking their cooperation with his policy. The Foreign Minister was of the opinion that he was not quite sure of the outcome of the parley, although he could not flatly deny that the meeting would end in success."

2

1

3

4

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

"They made the following agreement among themselves on the advise of the War Minister: Our demands regarding the stationing of troops in China should not be altered; the successful results of the Chinese Incident should be made secure by all means; and we should further our parley based on these agreements, ceasing our preparations for war."

.

1 2

The next document which we have, relating as to what took place at the meeting, is Premier KONOYF's own account which is International Prosecution document No. 497 which we now offer in evidence.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.
Mr. Blewett.

MR. BLEWETT: If the Court please, this document appears to be a self-serving declaration by a decedent.

THE PRESIDENT: That goes to weight only,
Mr. Blewett; and matters of weight or lack of weight
should be urged when the defense are putting their
case or, during their summation, at the end of their
case.

MR. BLEWETT: If I might state, your Honor, the certification indicates that this matter was dictated to one secretary, and the affidavit is taken by another.

THE PRESIDENT: According to this deponent, it was corrected in KONOYE's handwriting. That is enough to adopt it.

MR. BLEWETT: It was dictated, sir, to one secretary. Only portions of it were in the hand-writing of the decedent.

THE PRESIDENT: Corrections were. The 1 corrections would be evidence of adoption by KONOYE 2 to whose handwriting this particular deponent can 3 MR. BLEWETT: I will enter an exception 6 sir, for the record. THE PRESIDENT: The exception is noted. 7 Admitted on the usual terms. CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document No. 497 A will receive exhibit No. 1148. 10 (Whereupon, the document above re-11 12 ferred to was marked prosecution's exhibit No. 1148 and received in evidence.) 13 14 THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Higgins. 15 MR. HIGGINS: With the Tribunal's permission, 16 I now read prosecution's exhibit No. 1148: 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

"Facts Pertaining to the Resignation of the 3rd KONOYE Cabinet.

1

2

3

4

5

7

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

"The 3rd KONOYE Cabinet started off with the great mission of readjusting the Japanese-American relations. For this reason, the retirement of Foreign Minister MATSUOKA was brought about and as only that was done, it can be said that all efforts were solely exerted toward the accomplishment of this great mission ever since the formation of the Cabinet. However, America's attitude was by no means definite. There were various opinions as to why her attitude was not definite, but the opinion of the War Minister was that since America's basic policy is to advance into Asia, the reason for America's indefinite attitude is fuendamental and consequently she lacks sincerity even in her negotiation. However, we continued our negotiation with the view that a temporary compromise and conciliation may be possible in regard to the current situation, even if our basic traditional policies may have been different.

"Recently the negotiation reached a state
of temporary deedlock due to the occupation of French
Indo-China by our troops, but as it became known
that we wouldn't go any further, the situation

eased somewhat and the negotiation was again resumed. Hence, a message was sent to President Roosevelt on August 28 proposing a conference. Nevertheless, since President Roosevelt, in reply to this, stated that he was willing to hold a conference, but would like to have a general agreement reached in regard to the important matters, at least, as a premise, en Imperial Conference was held on September 6 to determine the basis of the counter-measure for this.

"As a result of the Imperial Conference, it was decided to direct all our efforts toward the diplomatic negotiation to the end, but to resolutely assume a war policy in the event no means for the conclusion of the negotiation is reached by early October.

"Since there was a time limit of by early
October, the negotiation was carried on hurriedly and
as it didn't progress as expected, September passed
and October came with the negotiation still not
going smoothly. At about that time, the supreme
command group became boisterous and stated that they
will wait until October 15, but won't extend it beyond
that. Therefore, I requested the assembly of the War
Minister, the Navy Minister, the Foreign Minister and
the President of the Planning Board at OGIGAISO for

a final conference on the afternoon of October 12.

"However, on the day before the conference, Chief OKA of the Naval Affairs Bureau came and in talking with him, he stated that with the exception of the Naval General Staff, the brains of the Navy don't want a Japanese-American war, but since the Navy, herself, can not say 'she can't do it' in view of her approval of the decision of the Imperial Headquarters, the Navy Minister will propose to leave it in the hands of the Prime Minister at tomorrow's conference; so we would like you to decide on continuing the diplomatic negotiation.

"Under such circumstence, this importent conference was held at 2 p.m. on October 12 at OGIGAISO. When the Prime Minister in opening the conference, stated:

"At last, we have come to the stage where we must decide whether it is to be wer or peace. In regard to this, let us first study whether there is any hope for a successful conclusion of the diplomatic negotiation.

"War Minister TOJO, expressing the Army's point of view, stated:

"There is absolutely no hope for a successful conclusion of the diplomatic negotiation."

"However, Navy Minister OIKAWA stated: "Let us leave the decision as to whether there is any hope for a successful conclusion of the diplomatic negotiation in the hands of the Prime Minister and the Foreign Minister and as for the Navy, she will comply with that decision. If there is any hope for a successful conclusion of the diplomatic negotiation, we want the negotiation to be continued. Today, we are standing on the cross-roads of peace or war. Until today, we have been making preparations for war on the one hand, while carrying on diplomatic negotiations on the other, but today we are actually confronting the crisis of peace or war. That is, if we are to rely on diplomatic negotiation, we would like it to be carried out thoroughly. Our preparations will fall behind if our attitude is to carry on diplomatic negotiation and then decide on war in the midst of it because it won't go smoothly. If we are to depend on diplomatic negotiation, we want to make it a success at all cost. Since we are standing on this important cross-road today, we want the decision of the Prime Minister at this time. We want to comply with this decision and go ahead. 1

2

3

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

25

24

25

"Against this opinion of the Navy Minister, the War Minister replied:

ponsibility, but we, too, are responsible as advisers.

Hence, the determination of this great problem cannot be left solely in the hands of the Premier. I believe that there is no hope for a successful conclusion of the diplomatic negotiation, but if the Foreign Minister is fully confident of success, it may be given further consideration. Does the Foreign Minister have a confidence of success?

"Since Foreign Minister TOYODA's views were asked, the Foreign Minister stated:

"'Since there is the second party, I can't say that I am confident of success, but, generally speaking, the important points in the negotiation with America are:

- "'(1) The Tripartite Alliance.
- "'(2) The economic problem in China.
- "'(3) The question of keeping our trosps/

"These three items are the obstacles. Of these, some sort of agreement can be reached in regard to item 1 and 2, but the third item pertaining to the question of keeping our troops/T.N. in China/is the most difficult one. Since America is emphatically demanding for the complete withdrawal of our

troops, I believe a compromise may be reached if we agree to a complete withdrawal of troops as a principle and station troops according to the time and place as specificially designated by an agreement or something between Japan and China, but I believe even this will be considerably difficult.'

"When this opinion was expressed, the War Minister, objecting emphatically, stated:

drawal of troops. It must be done with occupation as its general principle and the remaining troops withdrawn. Since we have made such a tremendous sacrifice in this China Incident, it would be alright properly speaking, to sever her territory, but in view of the KONOYE statement, that, too, cannot be done. Besides, a complete withdrawal of troops now cannot be done.

"Hence, the Prime Minister stated:

it is not a question of whether there is any hope for a successful conclusion of the diplomatic negotiation. There definitely is no hope. As for the Foreign Minister, he could consider it from the standpoint of the general situation and yield more. Only then, can it be said that there is hope for a successful conclusion

of the negetiation. The Navy Minister is incessantly clamoring for the decision of the Premier, but I cannot decide on war at this time. Since I, as expressed in the opinion of the Foreign Minister, believe there is still hope of success, I cannot help but adopt the Foreign Minister's opinion if I must decide on one or the other.'

"At this point, the War Minister retorted:

"'It is still early for the Premier to cast
a decision. We would like to have him consider the
matter once more.'

"As it was mutually decided to reconsider the matter, the conference of four and a half hours was brought to an end at 6:30 p.m. However, through this conference, the position of each became clear.

"Upon considering this matter fully, I find that, if I, at the conference, had accepted the proposal of the Navy Minister to leave it in the hands of the Premier and decided on 'war' by yielding to the opinion of the War Minister, the decision would have stood since the Navy Minister could not have opposed it and that it certainly would have brought about a matter of grave concern to the Empire. On looking back, I cannot help but feel my flesh creeping.

"On the night (8:00 - 10:30) of October 13,

I requested Foreign Minister TOYODA to call on me in the Japanese room of my official residence. When I inquired about the subsequent developments of the negotiation with America, he expressed the opinion that we inevitably must give up our occupation, that it wouldn't matter if the withdrawal is made with a time limit or summerily without it and that there is no means of settlement other than this.

"Prior to the Cabinet meeting on the morning of October 14, I requested the War Minister to call on me. As a result of my deliberation and based on last night's opinion of the Foreign Minister, I told him as follows:

"'In regard to the question of occupation, the result is clear if done according to the War Minister's contention, but if we were to yield on our pretence and take the reality, I believe that there is still hope in the negotiation. At this time, I wonder if we shouldn't make up our mind to readjust the Japanese-American relationship, the future of which is very risky? I deeply realize my responsibility for the Sino-Japanese Incident, but with the China

Incident still unsettled after four years, I wonder if we should further extend our hands toward the South. If it can be settled in one or two years, that is another matter, but judging from the opinion of experts, I find that no one thinks that it can be settled in one or two years. The concensus of opinions, practically, is that if the arrow should leave the bow, it may take five or ten years.'

"This is Count KANTKO's story, but at the time of the commencement of hostilities between Japan and Russia, Emperor Meiji, too, was unable to make a decision. So, early on the morning of February 4, when the final Imperial conference was to be held, he called in Prince ITO and asked him if there was any hope of winning the war with Russia. Hence, Prince ITO replied, 'There isn't any hope of winning, but it may be possible to prevent the invasion of Korea by the Russian Army for about a year or a year and a half. In the meantime, we must request a third power to intervene and end the war; but, since England and France are our allies, respectively, we have no third power other than America whom we can ask to intervene. Hence, it was finally decided at the Imperial conference of that day to commence hostilities, but that night Prince Ino reportedly called Count

21

1

2

3

4

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23 24

KANEKO and asked him to go to America immediately after telling him of the above. In other words, they were thinking of the ending of the war at the time of the starting of the war.

"When Field Marshal OYAMA was about to
leave for Manchuria as Commander-in-Chief of the Manchurian Forces, he reportedly stated, 'I will have
nothing to do. Mr. KODAMA will do the fighting for
me. But I may be of some help when the war is to be
ended.' The preparedness of our elders of that period
is worthy of note.

"If we should start a war with America this time, it will be a real world war, and there'll be no one to intervene. Even the international situation is very different from that of the Russo-Japan War period, and even our ally, Germany, she is extremely powerless in the Orient.

"If hostilities should commence, Japan will have to take the initiative and attack the Philippines. As for America, since it will be the first time her domain will be attacked, the flaring up of her public sentiment is more than the 'Down with Germany' sentiment expressed to date. The reason for Lindberg's opposition to participation in the war is the hopelessness of winning against Germany, but since

the relationship in the event of an attack on the Philippines by Japan is different, there is the danger of such sentiment as 'Down with Hitler' being blown away and changing completely into an anti-Japanese sentiment.

"Furthermore, since England is strengthening her sentiment of resistence against Germany on the strength of America's aid, it is a natural course for a peace movement to stir up within England if a Japanese -American war should break out and decrease the aid to England and if Germany would undertake her landing operation at this opportune time, it would be fine. But, since Germany, too, has considerably depleted her national strength in her war with Soviet Russia and since naval strength will be involved if a landing operation is to be undertaken, it may be proper to regard that the peace feeling in Germany, too, will become strong in proportion to the peace feeling in England. That is, it seems as if a Japanese-American war will hasten the peace in Europe, and it must be viewed that there is the danger of peace being brought about among England, America, Germany and Soviet Russia at the cost of the Far East.

"In other words, considerable thought should be given to the opening of hostilities between Japan and America. Hence, I believe that it would be better

24

25

2

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

first to bring an end to the China Incident and to have at the peace conference a reasonable voice backed by a faultless Navy. What do you think?

"In reply to this, the War Minister stated:

'"In view of so much sacrifice, withdrawal of our troops cannot be undertaken as a principle.

I can't yield to this even if I were to risk my position. Since America's real intention is control of the Far East, if we were to make one concession, the situation will probably be that she'll demand for another and not know where to stop her demands.'

"The Premier makes such remarks because he fully knows our domestic weakenesses, but since America too, should have her weaknesses, I must say that the Premier's view is too pessimistic.

"Since such was his retort, I took leave of the War Minister remarking that, such being the case, nothing can be done because it's a difference of opinion and that I wanted him to make the same statement at the Cabinet meeting.

"At the Cabinet meeting from 10:30 a.m. on that day, the War Minister expressed his above-mentioned opinion and the Nar Minister was the absolute master of the situation with no one among the Cabinet Members voicing approval or disapproval. Thus, the cab-

.

inet reached a complete deadlock.

"In the meantime, it became gradually known within Army quarters that since the Navy, herself, had no will to fight, but couldn't say so herself, she was appealing to the Premier through Bureau Chief OKA via Chief Secretary TOMITA for the Premier to express it. Consequently, the Army came forward with the remark that since war with America, in the first place, is a naval matter, it cannot be carried out no matter how persistent the Army may be if the Navy does not approve of it and that if the Navy would say she can't do it if she can't do it, then we can find a way to dissuade our subordinates and bring order within the service with only the Premier stating it.

"As an outcome of it, Chief MUTO of the Military Affairs Bureau called on Chief Secretary TOMITA and reportedly requested that the Navy be asked to make a definite statement at this time. Hence, when Chief Secretary TOMITA relayed this to Chief OKA of the Naval Affairs Bureau, Bureau Chief OKA reportedly stated that the Navy, as usual, cannot say it and that she can say no more than that she will comply with the decision of the Premier. Nevertheless, since the Army, too, insisted that it's of no use without the

```
Navy saying it, but only vaguely stated by the
     Premier, the attempt to break the deadlock was
 2
     abandoned."
 3
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1

3

5

7

8

6

9

0

10

12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

21

23

22

24

"However, late on the night of 14th (10:30 p.m. -- 00:30 a.m. of the next day), President SUZUKI of the Planning Board came to OGIGAISO as messenger of War Minister TOJO. According to his statement, /TOJO/ had stated:

"'Nothing can be done since the opinions of the Premier and mine (the War Minister) had clashed head on. Howev r, on inquiring more and more about the circumstances, I have found that it is the Navy that is staggering the determination of the Premier. If only the Navy would definitely say so, things would be all right, but if she claims she can't say it, the situation will only check and counter-check itself. Therefore, I have reached this conclusion. I believe that there is no other alternative but to return the decision of the recent Imperial conference back to a clean slate once more by all of us, even including all of the supreme command, resigning and to start anew with new men coming forward. Then, if the new men coming forward should decide that we won't fight, that may appear to be the end of it, but the Army is straining at the leash. There is no one among the Emperor's subject other than Prince KONOYE who can shoulder this grave crisis, but since he ought not to be troubled this time in view of the circumstraces of the Prince

to date, I believe that there is no alternative but to request Prince HIGASHIKUMI to take over at this time. Please relay this to the Lord Keeper of the Privy Seal KIDO, also.'

"This is righly out of the ordinary, but it is a plan. I replied that this be relayed to the Lord Keeper of the rivy Seal KIDO, also. When President SUZUKI called on the Lord Keeper of the Privy Seal KIDO on the morning of the following day, the 15th, and informed him of this the Lord Keeper of the Privy Seal reportedly did not express approval or disapproval, but it appeared as if the Lord Keeper of the Privy Seal had reported this to the Emperor immediately and since the Lord Keeper of the Privy Seal requested the Premier to come forward and report the present situation to the Emperor, I went to the palace at 4 p.m. on the 15th and reported in detail the developments to date. When I reported:

"The Navy does not want war, but she can't say so in view of the decision of the Imperial Conference. On hearing that, I, as Premier, can't agree to war all the more. I do think that the plan of the War Minister to request Prince HIGASHI-KUNI to take over is a means that would break the deadlocked situation,' the Emperor replied:

end. Since the appearance of an Imperial prince would make him appear to be my personal representative, it would be bad for an Imperial prince to take over and decide on war. If an Imperial prince takes over, he must decide on peace. If it should be decided on peace, will the army submit to the regulation? Besides, since it is an unprecedented thing for an Imperial prince to take over, the selection of Cabinet Hembers must be done very carefully.

"Thus, the Emperor seemed to have accepted the resignation of the KONOYE cabinet tacitly.

"Generally speaking, I wonder if War Minister TOJO's reason for brining out an Imperial prince is to take the issue to a strong group or to take it to a weak group? According to President SUZUKI, it appears to be for a change of direction, but in view of a report that a group of advocates of positive action is planning to use the Imperial prince as a figure-head and carry out their positive view and since the Lord Koeper of the Privy Scal requested that the intention of the War Minister be ascertained once more, I called President SUZUKI and had him ascertain the intention of the War Minister as well as whether the Army will submit to the regulation in the event it were to be

decided on 'peace,' a matter of concern to the Emperor. The reply of the War Minister reportedly was that;
he could not state definitely that the Army would submit to the regulation in the event it is decided on
'peace.' He further added, though ambiguously:

"'Assuming that it is decided on 'peace,'
there wouldn't be anyone other than an I merial prince
who would be able to suppress the Army.'

"Therefore, at 8 o'clock on the night of the 15th, I secretly visited the residence of Prince HIGASHI-KUNI and informed him of the circumstances to date. An when I told him:

"In connection with our desire that the war must be prevented somehow, to solicit for the aid of an Imperial prince is an unprecedented thing, but there is no means other than this to return the decision of the past to a clean slate. The Lord Keeper of the Privy Seal KIDO is afraid that it may affect your reputation, but since it will bring trouble to not only Your Highness, but also to the Imperial Household if war should break out, I beg that you consider yourself to be Prince MOFINAGA and put forth your whole effort at this time."

His Imperial Highness stated that he would like to think it over since it was a grave matter and

wondered if he could suppress the Army with his own strength.

"On the 16th, I began collecting the letters of resignation of the Cabinet Members from morning and when I informed the Lord Keeper of the 'rivy Seal KIDO that I was going to the palace to present them to the Emperor now as I had collected all of them in the afternoon, the Lord Keener of the Privy Seal asked me to hold off. Stating that because I had collected all of the letters of resignation, I visited the palace et 5 o'clock and explaining the reason as disagreement of opinion within the Cobinet, I presented the resignation of the entire Cabinet Members and retired from the presence of the Emperor. I met the Lord Keeper of the Privy Seal KIDO and inquired as to what he thought of the Imperial prince. According to the Lord Keeper of the Privy Seal, since His Majesty claims that it would be very emberrassing, he has finally decided to summon both, TOJO and OIKAVA, simultaneously, issue the Imperial Mandate for the formation of the succeeding Cabinet to one of them and order the other to cooperate and, at the same time, he also issued an instruction to the effect that the decision of the Imperial Conference of September 6 be reconsidered.

"As to whom it shall be, the Lord Keeper of

5

10

11

12

13

14

15

the Privy Seal explained that since the War Minister had clashed head-on with the Premier, it would be like recognizing his contention if it is given to him. Hence, he believes that it would be better to take the middle course and offer it to the Navy Minister --since the Navy Minister actually does not want war, but this has not been brought to light. The Emperor, too, has been informed to that effect.

1

3

6

7

. 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

13

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

"However, it can be thought in this manner, elso. Since the problem is the Army, there would be a danger of the Army springing back all the more if it is offered to the Navy. Honce, wouldn't it be better to offer it to the stronger side and alleviate the situation? Since America is of the ominion that the Army would reverse things even if decided upon by the KONOYE Cabinet, she will be all the more surprised if TOJO Cobinct is formed ofter it, in view of the feet that she already is all the more convinced that it will be wer with the resignation of the KONOYE Cabinet. But if the TOJO Cabinet, contrary to expectattions, should continue to carry on the negotiation, it may instead make her feel relieved and bring about a better result. /TN: The following sentnece is crossed out/ As to whom it should be, I believe that it would be better to have TOJO."

1

2

3

5

6

"Toon expressing my opinion thusly /TN: crossed out/, the Lord Keeper of the Privy Seal asked me to consider the above advantages and disadvantages

until temorrow.

"On the merning of the 17th, I sent a message to the Lord Keeper of the Privy Seel that TOJO would be better (if guarantee of morce is obstined).

7

9

8

sidered."

"On the 17th, the chief retainers' confer-

ence will be held in the malace at 1 p.m., after 10

which the Emperor is expected to summen both TOJO and

11 12 OIKAWA and issue the Imperial Mandate and, at the same time, an instruction to the offect that the Army and

13

the Nevy should cooperate and that the decision of the

14

Imperial Conference of September 6 should be recen-

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

THE PRESIDENT: You do not know when that memorandum was dictated? Nothing appears on it.

MR. FIHELLY: As to that question, Mr.

President, so that it can be accurately answered, I

would rather make further investigation. There were
a number of vital papers found in the KONOYE residence at the time of his suicide. I believe this was
one of those, but I would rather accurately investigate and report to the Tribunal.

I now read from prosecution exhibit 1136 A, one of the TOJO extracts which was introduced this morning in connection with this same particular meeting of October 12. I read from page 2 of the exhibit, pages 5 and 6 of the interrogation, starting with the last two questions and answers on the bottom of page 2:

"'Q. Who attended the meeting at KONOYE's home on or about October 12, 1941?

"'A It is hard for me, but I am sure that the War Minister, the Navy Minister, and the Foreign Minister was there. I am not sure whether the President of the Planning Board was there or not, but the three important ones were the three I have mentioned.

"'Q What was the purpose of the meeting and

.

what occurred there?

"'A As I recall them, I think the reasons for the conference were as follows: In the first place, the middle ten days of October, which had been set as the time by which we would strive for a favorable diplomatic turn, were upon us. The Japanese proposal for a meeting between Prince KONOYE and the President of the United States had been turned down by America. The international situation was becoming more tense all the time. In these circumstances, it was necessary for the Government of Japan to decide more definitely just what its policy was to be, and I believe that was the basic nurpose of the conference at Premier KONOYE's home.

"'Q Did you not quarrel with KONOYE at that meeting?

"'A No, it was not a quarrel. Our opinions differed.

"'Q Differed on what?

"'A My opinion was as follows: Japan was making concession after concession in the effort to effect a diplomatic break before the middle ten days of October, but on the other hand, America refused to budge from her position and made no concessions. The meeting between Premier KONOYE and the President,

by which it had been hoped that a political settlement could be reached had been turned down. As War
Minister, my opinion was that there remained practically no hope of a diplomatic break and I suggested
that the time had come when we had better make up our
minds for war. The longer we delayed in making this
decision, the more disadvantageous the situation
would be for Japan if war were decided upon later.
I felt thus because we were now in the middle ten
days of October."

As tending to show that there was still some element in the Navy against war with the United States and that this situation was discussed by the defendants KIDO and SUZUKI on October 13, we next offer in evidence the KIDO Diary entry of that date, International Prosecution document 1632W (78).

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document

1632W (78) will receive exhibit No. 1149.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked prosecution's exhibit No. 1149 and received in evidence.)

MR. FIHELLY: I now read prosecution exhibit
No. 1149, the extract of October 13, 1941:
"SUZUKI, President of the Planning Board,

visited me at 8 p.m. to talk about his political views which might contribute in some way to the making of a new turn in our political condition. I expressed my opinion to him. Our conclusion of the talk was this: The Premier should make an effort to promote mutual understanding with the War and Navy Ministers."

There follows another entry from the KIDO Diary of October 15 which we now offer in evidence, International Prosecution document No. 1632W (79), as tending to show that the defendant TOJO and those who desired war with the United States had brought about a Cabinet crisis.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document

No. 1632W (79) will receive exhibit No. 1150.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked prosecution's exhibit No. 1150 and received in evidence.)

MR. FIHELLY: I now read prosecution exhibit No. 1150, KIDO Diary extract, October 15, 1941. Or, rather, it states here that it is the full entry of that date. (Reading)

48.

22

24

25

"YAKATA visited me at 9 a.m. At 9:30 a.m. Lieut.-General SUZUKI, President of the Planning Board, visited me to deliver "er Minister TOJO's message, the purport of which is as follows: If the Premier would not change his mind, the general resignation of the Cabinet would be unavoidable. and as for the succeeding Premier, although the War Minister did not mention his name, he made it essential that the next Premier should be able to bring the Army and the Navy together, and follow the Imperial will. When one considered this point, it seemed very difficult to find a suitable person among ordinary Japanese subjects. He mentioned Prince HIGASHI-KUNI as a possibility for the next Premier. To this I answered that we should be very careful as it was a matter concerned with the Imperial family, and if we had to ask the Prince's acceptance of the premiership, a common policy between the Army and the Navy should be worked out beforehand; the establishment of an independent policy would take prece-I asked if the War dence of all other questions. Minister had any accurate forecast to make on this point.

\*I went to the office at 11 a.m. Prince
KONOYE visited me to ask my opinion regarding the

HIGASHIKUMI Cabinet. I answered that it was still under consideration. I requested NATSUDAIRA, Chief Secretary, to study the procedure of the naterialization of the HIGASHIKUNI plan. I visited the Emperor from 1.15 p.m. to 2 p.m. to report upon the pressing political situation. Premier KONOYE visited me at 4 p.m. to say that he could not hold his Premiership any longer, for the breach with the War Minister was becoming wider every day until at last the War Minister gave vent to his discontent saying that he did not like to hold any further conversations with the Premier, as he was not sure if he could stifle his feelings. Finally he asked my opinion regarding the proposed HIGASHIKUNI Cabinet, so I told him that I did not know whether the War Minister had changed his opinion in order to effect a compromise with the Navy or whether he intended to put the Prince's shoulder to the wheel, and I had yet to know the real intentions of the War Minister.

"I telephoned to the President of the Planning Board to request him to visit me. I visited the
Minister of the Imperial Household Department to talk
about the HIGASHIKUNI Cabinet plan. The Minister
seemed astonished and strongly objected to this plan.
At 4:30 p.m. SUZUKI, President of the Planning Board,

1

2

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

visited me. I asked him as to the real meaning of the War Minister's intentions, but in vain. I urged him to supply me with a definite report on this matter. The Premier, who joined our conversation on his withdrawal from the presence of the Emperor, said that the Emperor had not shown any particular objection to the HIGASHIKUNI Cabinet as it might be said to be the outcome of necessity, not of choice.

"I talked with the Premier until 8:30 p.m. regarding the political situation. At night SUZUKI telephoned to report on the result of his conversation with "at Minister TOJO. According to his report, TOJO's purpose was to establish harmony between the Army and the Navy by the influence of the Prince. Sc I objected to the plan. The Premier also telephoned me to say that he wanted to secure an informal consent of Prince HIGASHIKUNI. I enswered that it would be too early to do so, though I had no objection to him so long as his action was in his capacity as Premier. At midnight the Premier sent me a report saying that Prince HIGASHIKUNI had asked for a few days consideration on his part and a meeting with War Minister and the Home Minister. From 5:35 a.m. to 5:50 a.m. I made a report to the Throne concerning Prince KONOYE's talk and asked the Imperial opinion

1

2

3

4

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

13

19

as to the matter." THE PRESIDENT: We will adjourn now until half past nine tomorrow morning. (Whereupon, at 1600, an adjournment was taken until Wednesday, November 13, 1946 at 0930.)